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Abstract

Zeolite SAS is a novel microporous zeotype material known as magnesioaluminophosphate with small pores and large cavities. The framework morphology of SAS is an excellent choice for isomorphophic substitution to incorporate various types of metal ions into its pores, offering it distinct acidic and redox characteristics. Zeolite SAS type materials are receiving significant attention due to diverse applications in catalysis, iron exchange, environmental remediation and gas separation. A recent study shows that the SAS type materials remove, reduce or retard the adsorption of toxins, especially in water. In order to explore the structural characteristics of zeolite SAS and enhance its possible applications in QSAR and QSAR investigations, we employ graph theoretical techniques to derive the exact analytical formula of Wiener, Szeged, Mostar and degree type topological indices, which contain various information on molecules that can be useful in describing the physicochemical and biological properties of the materials. Furthermore we obtain the vertex partitions and spectral characteristics which in turn can aid in the interpretation of ESR and NMR spectral features as well as in relative comparisons of structures and their stabilities.

Keywords: Relativistic QSAR of materials; graph distance; partial cubes; zeolites.

\*Corresponding author : marockiaraj@gmail.com
1 Introduction

Zeolites are crystalline inorganic polymers containing pores whose primary building blocks are $\text{MO}_4$ tetrahedra where $M$ can be $\text{Si}^{4+}$ or $\text{Al}^{3+}$ cations or heteroatoms such as $\text{B}$, $\text{P}$, $\text{Sn}$, $\text{Ti}$, $\text{Fe}$, $\text{Ge}$, $\text{Ta}$, and $\text{V}$ etc., are placed in the middle while the four oxygen atoms are at its corners \cite{1,2}. Every corner is connected with two $\text{MO}_4$ units that gives rise to a three dimensional structure comprising cavities of molecular size cross sections, channels and empty spaces which are known as micropores \cite{3}. The openings of micropores, formed by rings of eight, ten, twelve, and more than twelve oxygen atoms, are categorized according to their pore size as small, medium, large, and extra-large zeolites respectively \cite{5,6}. The synthesis and catalysis of microporous molecules with such large cavities have gained considerable interest because of the various possible applications arising from their distinct properties and varied pore sizes \cite{7,8}. The microporous zeolites have the unique capacity to incorporate various metal ions into its pores through the substitution of isovalent ions which give them distinct acidic and redox characteristics \cite{4}. They have a number of unique properties due to their specific structures and electronic characteristics. Some of its distinctive properties include the existence of channels with well-defined dimensions, large amount of free space and lower density, higher degree of crystallinity, hydration, and the capacity of sorption of ions and molecules. The distinctive qualities of zeolites, such as structural specificity, large surface area, excellent thermal and chemical stability, and variable hydrophilic and hydrophobic characteristics, originate from their specific pore structures and molecular electronic structures \cite{5}. These properties of zeolites have received the attention of researchers from various fields such as mineralogy, chemistry and industry \cite{1}. Furthermore, the optimized zeotypes exhibit enhanced physicochemical characteristics such as excellent hydrothermal stability, fascinating gas separation ability, greater acidity and interesting catalytic properties \cite{4}. The zeolite SAS is one such zeotype structure that exhibits such properties \cite{9}.

Zeolites also find applications in the domains of selective adsorption, catalysts, water softening and gas separation \cite{10}. Zeolites are one of the most widely used materials in the chemical process industry and drug delivery \cite{11}. The chemical composition of zeolites was initially limited to aluminosilicate polymorphs, but the ability to incorporate heteroatoms has given it new dimension of chemical versatility, allowing us to control the physicochemical properties of zeolites such as redox and acidic properties and its hydrophobic−hydrophilic nature, and thus the material finds a number of applications \cite{5} including in luminescence, electricity, magnetism and microelectronic industries \cite{12}.

The microporous magnesioaluminophosphate which has the code SAS and the name St. Andrews-6 (STA-6) containing a one-dimensional channel system is a small pore zeolite SAS. It is obtained when MAPO ($M = \text{Mg, Mn, Fe, Co or Zn}$) or divalent metal cation-containing aluminophosphates...
are hydrothermally synthesized by using the structure directing agent namely, azamacrocycle 1,4,8,11-tetramethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane and introducing magnesium or other iron in the synthesis gel [13]. The unit cell of the zeolite SAS is composed of \( \text{Mg}_3\text{Al}_{13}\text{P}_{16}\text{O}_{64}\text{R}.2\text{H}_2\text{O} \). Zeolite SAS contains a one-dimensional channel system comprising of 8-membered rings. This system is composed of constricted cavities which are linked through common 8-membered rings. Figure 1 shows the building block of the SAS zeolite and the cavities that are linked by two 6-membered rings to form the zeolite SAS structure shown in Figure 2. The cages observed in zeolites A, RHO, and ZK-5 are slightly larger than the cages observed in zeolite SAS [14].

![Figure 1: Building unit of zeolite SAS](image)

In order to investigate the physicochemical properties of zeolite SAS material, we use chemical graph theory where the vertices represent the atoms and the edges denote the chemical bonds between them. Further we compute the topological indices of the SAS structures which have potential biological, chemical and physical applications through quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) and quantitative structure-property relationship (QSPR) [15–19].

Relativistic effects including spin-orbit coupling are very important in the computation of electronic, structural and topological indices especially when very heavy atoms such as Au, Bi, Hg, Pt, Pd, etc., are present in the structure [20–22]. Therefore, it is very important to include relativistic parameters in the computation of topological indices of zeolite SAS materials. Both relativistic and nonrelativistic bond and charge parameters can be derived from high-level CASSCF/CI computations that can be carried out on such clusters, as demonstrated in the previous work on silicon clusters [23]. Thus, in the present manuscript, we compute topological indices for zeolite SAS materials which include relativistic effects. We use a relativistically weighted graph theoretical approach to derive exact mathematical formula of Wiener, Szeged, and Mostar type topological indices.
A $k$-dimensional cube $Q_k$ consists of a collection of $k$-bits binary strings in which two strings are connected by an edge if and only if the respective strings differ by exactly one bit. A collection of all isometric subgraphs (that is, distance preserving subgraphs) of all cubes $Q_k$, $k \geq 1$, is grouped into a family called partial cubes, which plays an essential part in the characterization of chemical properties of materials. We assume in this study that a partial cube graph $Q_G$ has a vertex set $V(Q_G)$ consisting of all atoms, and an edge set $E(Q_G)$ consisting of bonds. The edge set of the partial cube graph $Q_G$ can be partitioned into disjoint classes using the Djoković-Winkler relation $\Theta$ where two edges $e_j = x_jy_j$ and $e_k = x_ky_k$ are $\Theta$ related if $d_{Q_G}(x_j, x_k) + d_{Q_G}(y_j, y_k) \neq d_{Q_G}(x_j, y_k) + d_{Q_G}(x_k, y_j)$.

2 Partial Cubes and Topological Indices

Figure 2: (a) Square zeolite SAS of length 2 (b-d) Cubic zeolite SAS in front, side and top views
in which $d_{QG}(x_j, x_k)$ stands for the distance between $x_j$ and $x_k$.

It is a fundamental fact on partial cubes that $\Theta$ forms an equivalence relation on $E(Q_G)$. Let henceforth $\Theta(Q_G) = \{\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \ldots, \Theta_k\}$ be the $\Theta$-based partition of the edge set of $Q_G$. The result of removing one $\Theta$-class from $Q_G$, formally denoting this operation by $Q_G - \Theta_i$, is disconnected graph consisting of exactly two components [24–26]. Denoting the number of vertex elements and edge elements in one of these components by $n(\Theta_i)$ and $m(\Theta_i)$ respectively, then the number of vertex elements and edge elements in the other component will be denoted by $\pi(\Theta_i)$ and $\overline{m}(\Theta_i)$. Clearly, $n(\Theta_i) + \pi(\Theta_i) = |V(Q_G)|$ and $m(\Theta_i) + \overline{m}(\Theta_i) + |\Theta_i| = |E(Q_G)|$. For the topological indices of our interest the following expressions are known:

- $W(Q_G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n(\Theta_i)\overline{m}(\Theta_i)$ (Wiener index)
- $W_e(Q_G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m(\Theta_i)\overline{m}(\Theta_i)$ (edge-Wiener index)
- $W_{ev}(Q_G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2}(n(\Theta_i)\overline{m}(\Theta_i) + \pi(\Theta_i)m(\Theta_i))$ (vertex-edge-Wiener index)
- $Sz(Q_G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |\Theta_i|n(\Theta_i)\overline{m}(\Theta_i)$ (Szeged index)
- $Sz_e(Q_G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |\Theta_i|m(\Theta_i)\overline{m}(\Theta_i)$ (edge-Szeged index)
- $Sz_{ev}(Q_G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2}|\Theta_i|(n(\Theta_i)\overline{m}(\Theta_i) + \pi(\Theta_i)m(\Theta_i))$ (edge-vertex-Szeged index)
- $PI(Q_G) = |E(Q_G)|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} |\Theta_i|^2$ (Padmakar-Ivan index)
- $S(Q_G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} 2(n(\Theta_i)\overline{m}(\Theta_i) + \pi(\Theta_i)m(\Theta_i)) + |E(Q_G)||V(Q_G)|$ (Schultz index)
- $Gut(Q_G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (4m(\Theta_i)\overline{m}(\Theta_i) - |\Theta_i|^2) + 2|E(Q_G)|^2$ (Gutman index)
- $Mo(Q_G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |\Theta_i||n(\Theta_i) - \pi(\Theta_i)|$ (Mostar index)
- $Mo_e(Q_G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |\Theta_i||m(\Theta_i) - \overline{m}(\Theta_i)|$ (edge-Mostar index)

The seminal of the above formulas, the one for the Wiener index, was proved in [24]. For later developments see the survey [25], and for the Szeged-like indices see the recent paper [27]; cf. also the references in the latter paper for other results.

The bond-type indices described above, such as Szeged index, Padmakar-Ivan index, and Mostar
index were strengthened in \cite{28,29} by including certain weights based on their end vertices degrees along with basic binary operations \{+,\ast\} as described below. For \(e_j = x_jy_j\), \(w^+(e_j) = \deg_G(x_j) + \deg_G(y_j)\) and \(w^*(e_j) = \deg_G(x_j)\deg_G(y_j)\), where \(\deg_G(x_j)\) stands for the degree of vertex \(x_j\). Further, set \(w^+(\Theta_i) = \sum_{e_j \in \Theta_i} w^+(e_j)\), and \(w^*(\Theta_i) = \sum_{e_j \in \Theta_i} w^*(e_j)\). If \(Q_G\) is a partial cube and \(\circ \in \{+,\ast\}\), then the following formulas hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} w^*(\Theta_i)m(\Theta_i)\bar{m}(\Theta_i) & \quad \text{(weighted Padmakar-Ivan index)} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} w^*(\Theta_i)m(\Theta_i)\bar{m}(\Theta_i) & \quad \text{(weighted Mostar index)} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} w^*(\Theta_i)m(\Theta_i)\bar{m}(\Theta_i) & \quad \text{(weighted edge-Mostar index)}
\end{align*}
\]

The \(\Theta\)-based partition is not useful for computing the degree-based topological indices, whereas we consider another partition of the edge set of \(Q_G\) based on the bond end degrees \cite{30,33}. Let \(D_{ij}\) be a set of bonds of \(Q_G\) such that \(e_k = x_ky_k \in D_{ij}\), \(\deg_G(x_k) = i\) and \(\deg_G(y_k) = j\). We denote \(D(Q_G) = \{D_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq 1\}\) as the degree-based partition of \(Q_G\). The corresponding degree-based indices \(\psi\) is defined by \(\psi(Q_G) = \sum_{D_{ij} \in D(Q_G)} \psi(D_{ij})\) where \(\psi(D_{ij}) = |D_{ij}|\psi(i, j)\) and the index function \(\psi\) for specific cases is given as follows: first Zagreb \(M_1(i, j) = i + j\), second Zagreb \(M_2(i, i) = ij\), Randić \(R(i, j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{ij}}\), atom-bond connectivity \(ABC(i, j) = \sqrt{i+j-2}\), harmonic \(H(i, j) = \frac{2}{i+j}\), sum-connectivity \(SC(i, j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+j}}\), hyper-Zagreb \(HM(i, j) = (i+j)^2\), geometric-arithmetic \(GA(i, j) = \frac{2\sqrt{ij}}{i+j}\), irregularity \(irr(i, j) = |i - j|\), forgotten \(F(i, j) = i^2 + j^2\) and symmetric division degree \(SDD(i, j) = (i + j)\).

There is a general method for calculating degree-based topological indices based on the M-polynomial: This polynomial was introduced in \cite{34} as follows:

\[
M(Q_G) = \sum_{i \leq j} |D_{ij}|x^iy^j.
\]

It was then demonstrated in \cite{34} that that degree-based topological indices can be routinely computed from the M-polynomial using derivation and integration. The method was afterwards used in more than a hundred papers, \cite{35,36} is just a sample couple of recent applications.
3 Results and Discussion

The basic building unit of zeolite SAS materials is composed of double 8-rings such that two consecutive connective bonds are replaced by (4,6,4)-rings and (6,6)-rings alternatively as shown in Figure 1 and that unit has 40 vertices and 60 edges. The key property needed for our investigation is that this building block is a partial cube. One way to verify this fact is by inspection, but this appears very tedious. However, this building block has been earlier discovered to be a partial cube in [37] by investigating the so-called tribes of partial cubes in order to discover as many cubic partial cubes as possible. Our current building block appeared in [37] as the graph $B_{40}$. The discovery of this graph was due to the investigation of the tribe of the truncated octahedron.

The building units are arranged horizontally and vertically, with horizontal units linked by double 6-rings and vertical units joined by 6-rings to form a rectangular zeolite SAS materials, as depicted in Figure 2a and denoted by $SAS(r,s)$. A cuboid form zeolite SAS material, denoted by $SAS(r,s,t)$, is an arrangement of $SAS(r,s)$ by $t$ times such that the basic units in the two consecutive rectangular zeolite SAS materials are linked by double 6-rings. The above basic unit arrangement clearly shows that the number of vertices and edges of zeolite SAS materials as $|V(SAS(r,s,t))| = 8st(4r + 1)$ and $|E(SAS(r,s,t))| = 8st(8r + 1) - 6r(s + t)$, respectively and with reduction to $SAS(r,s)$ when $t = 1$.

The zeolite SAS materials are doped or impregnated with heavy atoms, and can be represented as weighted structural graph such that atoms and bonds weights are enabled by relativistic quantum parameters [17]. For this purpose, the weight $\gamma_x$ is assigned to an atom $x$, and the weight $\rho_{xy}$ is assigned to a bond $x-y$. In this study, we consider zeolite SAS materials with two heavy atoms $x$ and $y$ in an equal number by assigning vertex-weights $\{\gamma_x, \gamma_y\}$ and edge-weight $\rho_{xy}$. Let $V_\gamma(SAS(r,s,t))$ be the weighted vertex set of $SAS(r,s,t)$ such that $|V_\gamma(SAS(r,s,t))| = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)|V(SAS(r,s,t))|$. Similarly, let $E_\rho(SAS(r,s,t))$ be the weighted edge set of $SAS(r,s,t)$ such that $|E_\rho(SAS(r,s,t))| = \rho_{xy}|E(SAS(r,s,t))|$. The relativistic topological indices of rectangular and cuboid zeolite SAS materials are derived combining the $\Theta$-classes of the basic units as viewed from the front, side and top with bond types such as forward, backward and vertical/horizontal as shown in Figure 3 and the $\Theta$-classes denoted by $FFV$, $FBV$, $FVV$, $SBV$, $SBV$, $SVV$, $TFV$, and $TBV$. In addition, we use the bonds of double 6-rings to form the $\Theta$-classes.

Theorem 3.1. For $1 \leq r \leq s$, let $G = SAS(r,s)$. Then the following hold.

1. $W(G) = \frac{4}{15}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)^2[(960r^2 + 480r + 60)s^3 + (320r^3 + 480r^2 + 310r + 15)s^2 + (160r^4 + 160r^3 + 110r^2 - 40r - 15)s + (-32r^5 - 40r^4 - 10r^3 + 40r^2 + 42r)]$. 
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Figure 3: (a-c) Front-view, (d-f) Side-view, (g-h) Top-view Θ-classes of the basic unit of zeolite SAS

(2) \( W_e(G) = \frac{1}{15} \rho_{xy} [(50460r^2 + 13920r + 960)s^3 + (16820r^3 - 21180r^2 + 3820r - 225)s^2 + (8410r^4 + 1160r^3 + 10865r^2 - 1970r - 195)s + (-1682r^5 - 2030r^4 - 290r^3 + 1625r^2 + 2242r)] \).

(3) \( W_{ee}(G) = \frac{2}{15} \rho_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)[(4640r^2 + 1800r + 160)s^3 + (120r^2 + 90r + 15)s^2 + (1160r^4 + 900r^3 + 1100r^2 - 45r - 40)s + (-232r^5 - 285r^4 - 70r^3 + 285r^2 + 302r)] \).

(4) \( Sz(G) = \frac{8}{15} \rho_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)^2[(4880r^3 + 3480r^2 + 1345r + 45)s^3 + (160r^4 + 760r^3 + 440r^2 + 125r + 15)s + (-64r^5 - 340r^4 + 340r^2 + 64r)] \).

(5) \( Sz_e(G) = \frac{2}{15} \rho_{xy}[(249080r^3 + 84150r^2 + 46225r + 615)s^3 + (-118380r^3 - 36600r^2 - 12600r - 360)s^2 + (20880r^4 + 68130r^3 + 6900r^2 + 2265r + 285)s + (-3944r^5 - 19720r^4 + 1860r^3 + 19720r^2 + 464r)] \).
(6) \[
S_{ev}(G) = \frac{4}{15} \rho_{xy}^2 (\gamma_x + \gamma_y)[(34840r^3 + 18330r^2 + 7415r + 165)s^3 + (-8220r^3 - 3735r^2 - 1050r - 45)s^2 + (2020r^4 + 6740r^3 + 2015r^2 + 415r + 60)s + (-504r^5 - 2460r^4 + 240r^3 + 2460r^2 + 264r)].
\]
(7) \[
PI(G) = \frac{4}{3} \rho_{xy}^2 [(2946r^2 + 582r + 45)s^2 + (-696r^2 - 114r - 9)s + (32r^3 + 54r^2 - 32r)].
\]
(8) \[
S(G) = \frac{8}{15} \rho_{xy}[(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)[(6960r^2 + 2700r + 240)s^3 + (2320r^3 + 2010r^2 + 1685r + 60)s^2 + (1160r^4 + 660r^3 + 610r^2 - 345r - 60)s + (-232r^5 - 285r^4 - 70r^3 + 285r^2 + 302r)].
\]
(9) \[
Gut(G) = \frac{4}{15} \rho_{xy}^2 [(50460r^2 + 13920r + 960)s^3 + (16820r^3 + 3915r^2 + 10210r + 240)s^2 + (8410r^4 + 1160r^3 + 4775r^2 - 2900r - 240)s + (-1682r^5 - 2030r^4 - 130r^3 + 2030r^2 + 2082r)].
\]
(10) \[
Mo(G) = \frac{1}{5} \rho_{xy}[(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)[(1248r^2 + 360r + 192(-1)r - 156)s^2 + (-288r^2 + 72r)s + (-32r^3 - 288r^2 + 96(-1)r^2 - 192(-1)r + 3r + 48(-1)r + 18(-1)s - 18)].
\]
(11) \[
Mo_e(G) = \frac{8}{15} \rho_{xy}[(9048r^2 + 1908r + 1392(-1)r - 1248)s^2 + (-2376r^2 - 288r - 144(-1)r + 144)s + (-320r^3 - 2088r^2 + 696(-1)r^2 - 1392(-1)r + 3r^2 - 490r + 618(-1)r - 192(-1)r + 72(-1)s - 72)].
\]
(12) \[
w^+ Mo(G) = \frac{2}{3} \rho_{xy}[(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)[(4560r^2 + 780r + 720(-1)r - 480)s^2 + (-1632r^2 - 360r - 96(-1)r + 96)s + (-80r^3 - 672r^2 + 312(-1)r^2 - 672(-1)r + 3r^2 - 190r + 222(-1)r - 120(-1)r + 36(-1)r + 12(-1)r + 48)].
\]
(13) \[
w^+ Mo_e(G) = \rho_{xy}[(11020r^2 + 1040r + 1740(-1)r - 1212)s^2 + (-4304r^2 - 432r - 412(-1)r + 364)s + (-296r^3 - 1554r^2 + 754(-1)r^2 - 1624(-1)r + 3r^2 - 216r + 452(-1)r - 108(-1)r + 24(-1)r + 40(-1)r + 88)].
\]
(14) \[
w^+ Mo(G) = 2 \rho_{xy}[(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)[(2800r^2 + 204r + 448(-1)r - 276)s^2 + (-1344r^2 - 216r - 112(-1)r + 128)s + (-24r^3 - 248r^2 + 168(-1)r^2 - 400(-1)r + 3r^2 - 6r + 66(-1)r - 36(-1)r^2 + 6(-1)r + 16(-1)r + 22)].
\]
(15) \[
w^+ Mo_e(G) = \frac{1}{5} \rho_{xy}^{3}[(60900r^2 - 204r + 9744(-1)r - 5808)s^2 + (-31248r^2 - 1536r - 3444(-1)r + 3204)s + (-1072r^3 - 4626r^2 + 3654(-1)r^2 - 8700(-1)r^2 + 526r + 1026(-1)r + 192(-1)r + 180(-1)r + 264(-1)r + 516)].
\]
(16) \[
w^+ Sz(G) = \frac{16}{15} \rho_{xy}[(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)^2[(18080r^3 + 11360r^2 + 3040r + 520)s^3 + (-1120r^3 - 720r^2 - 410r)s^2 + (400r^4 + 1820r^3 + 810r^2 + 295r + 50)s + (-192r^5 - 1150r^4 + 250r^3 + 1270r^2 + 197r)].
\]
(17) \[
w^+ Sz_e(G) = \frac{4}{15} \rho_{xy}^{3}[(920630r^3 + 232800r^2 + 101670r + 26140)s^3 + (-484810r^3 - 98370r^2 - 43670r - 5940)s^2 + (64670r^4 + 223190r^3 - 5570r^2 + 6785r + 1160)s + (-12122r^5 - 66990r^4 + 17780r^3 + 70320r^2 - 483r)].
\]
(18) \[
w^+ PI(G) = \frac{8}{3} \rho_{xy}^{2}[(9201r^2 + 1218r + 66)s^2 + (-3396r^2 - 153r + 6)s + (112r^2 + 303r - 127r)].
\]

\[ (19) \quad w^* Sz(G) = \frac{16}{15} \rho_{xy}^2 (\gamma_x + \gamma_y)^2 [(33760r^3 + 18560r^2 + 2990r + 1315)s^3 + (-3920r^3 - 2520r^2 - 1435r)s^2 + (360r^4 + 1930r^3 + 280r^2 + 335r + 95)s + (-272r^5 - 1965r^4 + 760r^3 + 2325r^2 + 277r)]. \]

\[ (20) \quad w^* Sz_e(G) = \frac{4}{15} \rho_{xy}^4 \left[(1715110r^3 + 300330r^2 + 97120r + 75025)s^3 + (-982640r^3 - 117870r^2 - 80695r - 16020)s^2 + (96860r^4 + 378125r^3 - 59780r^2 + 11675r + 2375)s + (-17922r^5 - 115130r^4 + 45185r^3 + 125120r^2 - 5663r) \right]. \]

\[ (21) \quad w^* PI(G) = \frac{8}{3} \rho_{xy}^3 \left[(17097r^2 + 765r - 51)s^2 + (-7737r^2 + 243r + 87)s + (200r^3 + 738r^2 - 263r) \right]. \]

**Proof.** Since the rectangular zeolite SAS material is a partial cube graph, we need to classify the \( \Theta \)-partition of \( SAS(r,s) \) as well as the graph theoretical parameters \( |\Theta_i|, n(\Theta_i), m(\Theta_i), w^+(\Theta_i) \), and \( w^*(\Theta_i) \) for each class \( \Theta_i \) in order to apply the computational techniques/formulas from Section 2. Let \( FFV_i, 1 \leq i \leq r + s - 1 \), be the \( \Theta \)-classes consisting of forward-type bonds from the North-West corner of the rectangular SAS in front-view. In this fashion, we consider the backward-type bonds from the South-West corner to form the \( \Theta \)-classes \( FBV_i, 1 \leq i \leq r + s - 1 \). Consequently, the topological quantities arising from \( FFV_i \) and \( FBV_i \) are the same. Let \( FVV_1 \) be a \( \Theta \)-class covering all the horizontal bonds from the front-view direction.

In the side-view, let \( SFV_i \) and \( SBV_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq r \), be the \( \Theta \)-classes consisting of forward and backward types bonding from the top to bottom of the rectangular SAS. The topological quantities of forward and backward \( \Theta \)-classes are the same due to their symmetry and we will not mention them every time they are used. Let \( SVV_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq s \), be the \( \Theta \)-classes consisting of horizontal bonds of the rectangular SAS from left to right in side-view, and let \( SHV_i, 1 \leq i \leq s - 1 \), be the \( \Theta \)-classes consisting of double 6-ring bonds of rectangular SAS from left to right. Similar to side-view, let \( TFV_i \) and \( TBV_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq s \), be the \( \Theta \)-classes resulting from top-view. The above mentioned \( \Theta \)-classes are illustrated in Figure 1. For convenience, we write the \( \Theta \)-classes that arrive from front-, side- and top-view respectively as F-, S- and T-type.

As previously stated, for any \( \Theta \)-class \( \Theta_i \), \( n(\Theta_i) + \pi(\Theta_i) = |V_\gamma(SAS(r,s))| \) and \( m(\Theta_i) + \pi(\Theta_i) + |\Theta_i| = |E_\rho(SAS(r,s))| \), where \( |V_\gamma(SAS(r,s))| = 4s(4r + 1)\{\gamma_x + \gamma_y\} \) and \( |E_\rho(SAS(r,s))| = 2(29rs + 4s - 3r)\rho_{xy} \).

The topological parameters of F-type classes are computed as follows.

\[ |FFV_i| = \begin{cases} 
8i\rho_{xy} & : 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \\
8r\rho_{xy} & : r \leq i \leq s \\
|FFV_{r+s-i}| & : s + 1 \leq i \leq r + s - 1 
\end{cases} \]
Figure 4: Θ-classes of $SAS(2, 2)$

\[ n(FFV_i) = \begin{cases} 
2i(4i + 1)\{\gamma_x + \gamma_y\} & : 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \\
2(2i - r)(4r + 1)\{\gamma_x + \gamma_y\} & : r \leq i \leq s \\
n(FFV_{r+s-i}) & : s + 1 \leq i \leq r + s - 1 
\end{cases} \]

\[ m(FFV_i) = \begin{cases} 
i(29i - 3)\rho_{xy} & : 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \\
(2i(29r + 4) - r(29r + 11))\rho_{xy} & : r \leq i \leq s \\
m(FFV_{r+s-i}) & : s + 1 \leq i \leq r + s - 1 
\end{cases} \]
\[ w^+ (FFV_i) = \begin{cases} 
4(14i - 1)\rho_{xy} & : 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \\
8(7r - 1)\rho_{xy} & : i = r, \ r = s \\
2(28r - 3)\rho_{xy} & : i = r, \ r < s \\
4(14r - 1)\rho_{xy} & : r < i < s \\
w^+ (FFV_{r+s-i}) & : s \leq i \leq r + s - 1 
\end{cases} \]

\[ w^* (FFV_i) = \begin{cases} 
4(25i - 4)\rho_{xy}^2 & : 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \\
4(25r - 7)\rho_{xy}^2 & : i = r, \ r = s \\
2(50r - 11)\rho_{xy}^2 & : i = r, \ r < s \\
4(25r - 4)\rho_{xy}^2 & : r < i < s \\
w^* (FFV_{r+s-i}) & : s \leq i \leq r + s - 1 
\end{cases} \]

\[ |FVV_1| = 2s(3r + 1)\rho_{xy}, \]
\[ n (FVV_1) = 2s(4r + 1)\{\gamma_x + \gamma_y\}, \]
\[ m (FVV_1) = (26rs - 3r + 3s)\rho_{xy}, \]
\[ w^+ (FVV_1) = 8(6rs - (r - s))\rho_{xy}, \]
\[ w^* (FVV_1) = 4(24rs - (7r - s))\rho_{xy}^2. \]

The topological parameters of S-type and T-type classes are given in the following.

\[ |SFV_i| = 8s\rho_{xy}, \ 1 \leq i \leq r \]
\[ n (SFV_i) = 2s(8i - 3)\{\gamma_x + \gamma_y\}, \ 1 \leq i \leq r \]
\[ m (SFV_i) = (29s - 3)(2i - 1)\rho_{xy}, \ 1 \leq i \leq r \]

\[ w^+ (SFV_i) = \begin{cases} 
2(29s - 4)\rho_{xy} & : i \in \{1, r\} \\
4(15s - 2)\rho_{xy} & : 1 < i < r 
\end{cases} \]

\[ w^* (SFV_i) = \begin{cases} 
2(53s - 14)\rho_{xy}^2 & : i \in \{1, r\} \\
28(4s - 1)\rho_{xy}^2 & : 1 < i < r 
\end{cases} \]
\[ |SVV_i| = 2(3r + 1)\rho_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s \]
\[ n(SVV_i) = 2(4r + 1)(2i - 1)\{\gamma_x + \gamma_y\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s \]
\[ m(SVV_i) = 2(29r + 4)i - 5(7r + 1)\rho_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s \]
\[ w^+(SVV_i) = 8(5r + 1)\rho_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s \]
\[ w^*(SVV_i) = 4(17r + 1)\rho_{xy}^2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s \]
\[ |SHV_i| = 6r\rho_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s - 1 \]
\[ n(SHV_i) = 4(4r + 1)i\{\gamma_x + \gamma_y\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s - 1 \]
\[ m(SHV_i) = 2((29r + 4)i - 3r)\rho_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s - 1 \]
\[ w^+(SHV_i) = 48r\rho_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s - 1 \]
\[ w^*(SHV_i) = 96r\rho_{xy}^2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s - 1 \]
\[ |TFV_i| = 2(2r + 1)\rho_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s \]
\[ n(TVF_i) = 2(4r + 1)(2i - 1)\{\gamma_x + \gamma_y\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s \]
\[ m(TVF_i) = 2(29r + 4)i - (34r + 5)\rho_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s \]
\[ w^+(TFV_i) = \begin{cases} (29r + 8)\rho_{xy} & : i \in \{1, s\} \\ 2(15r + 4)\rho_{xy} & : 1 < i < s \end{cases} \]
\[ w^*(TFV_i) = \begin{cases} (53r + 4)\rho_{xy}^2 & : i \in \{1, s\} \\ 4(14r + 1)\rho_{xy}^2 & : 1 < i < s \end{cases} \]

The proof is complete when the topological parameters obtained from each class are added together using the following equation, where \( \psi(\Theta) \) represents the topological parameters obtained by the cut method for the class \( \Theta \) with respect to the topological index function \( \psi \).

\[
\psi(SAS(r,s)) = 4 \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \psi(FFV_i) + 2 \sum_{i=r}^{s} \psi(FFV_i) + \psi(FVV_1) \\
+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} \psi(SBV_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{s} TI(SVV_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \psi(SHV_i) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{s} \psi(TBV_i).
\]

**Theorem 3.2.** For \( r \leq s \leq t \), let \( G = SAS(r,s,t) \). Then the following hold.

(1) \[ W(G) = \frac{4}{21}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)^2[(-32s^2 - 32t^2)r^5 + (160s^2t - 40s^2 + 160st^2 - 40t^2)r^4 + (160s^2t - 10s^2 + 160st^2 - 10t^2)r^3 + (-32s^5 + 160s^4t + 640s^3t^2 + 960s^2t^3 - 50s^2t + 40s^2 - 50st^2 + 32s + 40t^2)r^2 + \]

\( 13 \)
\((-16s^5 + 80s^4t + 320s^3t^2 + 480s^2t^3 - 120st^4 + 42s^2t - 120st^2 + 16s + 42t^2)r + (-2s^5 + 10s^4t + 40s^3t^2 + 60s^2t^3 - 25st^2 - 25s^2t + 2s^3)]\).

(2) \(W_e(G) = \frac{1}{15} \rho_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)((-2048s^2 + 384s - 2048t^2 + 384t - 36)r^5 + (10240s^2t - 22400s^2 + 10240st^2 - 3840st + 300s - 2240t^2 + 300t)r^4 + (5120s^3t - 4705s^2t + 5120st^2 - 960st - 4705t^2)r^3 + (-2048s^5 + 10240s^4t + 40960s^3t^2 - 11520s^3t^2 + 500s^3 + 61440s^2t^2 - 59520s^2t^2 + 7030s^2t + 1700s^2t + 11520st^3 + 6910st^2 + 2760st + 1653s + 540s^3 + 1700s^2t - 435t^2)r^2 + (-512s^5 + 2560s^4t + 10240s^3t^2 - 1440s^3t - 40s^3 + 15360s^3t^2 - 11280s^2t^2 - 2550s^2t + 2518s^2t - 1440st^3 + 2670st^2 + 960st + 16s + 2518t^2 - 384t + 36)r + (-32s^5 + 160s^4t + 640s^3t^2 - 10s^5 + 960s^2t^3 - 480s^2t^2 - 355s^3t - 385st^2 + 42s^3)].\)

(3) \(W_{ee}(G) = \frac{2}{15} \rho_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)((-256s^2 + 24s - 256t^2 + 24t)r^5 + (1280s^2t - 300s^2 + 1280st^2 - 240st + 15s - 300t^2 + 15t)r^4 + (960s^3t - 70s^2 + 960st^2 - 120st - 70t^2)r^3 + (-256s^5 + 1280s^4t + 5120s^3t^2 - 720s^3t + 7680s^2t^3 - 3720s^2t^2 - 160s^2t + 300s^2 - 720st^3 - 160st^2 + 240st + 241s + 300f - 15t)r^2 + (-96s^5 + 480s^4t + 192s^3t^2 - 180s^3t + 2880s^2t^3 - 1170s^2t^2 - 630s^2t + 326s^2 - 180st^3 - 630st^2 + 120st + 72s + 326t^2 - 24t)r + (-8s^5 + 40s^4t + 160s^3t^2 + 240s^2t^3 - 60s^2t^2 - 100st^2 + 8s)].\)

(4) \(Z(G) = \frac{8}{15} \rho_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)((-64s^3 - 640s^2t^3 + 160s^3t - 340s^3t + 160s^3t^3 - 340s^3t^3)r^4 + (-160s^4 + 5120s^3t^2 + 760s^3t + 160s^2t + 440st^3)r^3 + (-160s^4 + 3200s^3t^3 + 440s^3t + 340s^3 + 160s^2t^3 + 540s^3r^2 + (-50s^4 + 640s^3t^3 + 125s^3t + 64s^3 + 50s^2 + 25st^3 + 64t^3)r + (-5s^4 + 40s^3t^3 + 15s^3t + 5s^3 + 53^3t^3)].\)

(5) \(Z_{ee}(G) = \frac{2}{15} \rho_{xy}(((-4352s^3 + 408s^2t^4 - 4352s^3t^4 + 408st^2)r^5 + (23040s^3t - 23380s^3t - 2160s^2t + 3840s^2 + 23040st^2 - 2160st^2 - 180s - 23380s^3t^2 - 180t)r^4 + (-384s^5 + 4480s^4t - 10600s^4 + 327680s^3t^3 - 1344000st^2 + 77700s^3t + 41400s^3t - 122880s^2t^3 + 18360s^2t^2 - 14740s^2t + 10240s^2 + 56500st^3 - 6420st^2 + 1050st - 1536s + 2220t^2 - 360t^2)r^3 + (-240s^5 + 4080s^4t - 8100s^4 + 122880s^3t^3 - 4080s^3t^2 + 8190s^3t + 24580s^2t^3 - 29760s^2t^3 + 2880s^2t^2 - 5880s^2t^2 + 4260s^2 - 8010st^5 + 600st^5 + 510st - 780s + 23380s^3 - 3840t^2 + 180t)r^2 + (-24s^5 + 1080s^4t - 1590s^4 + 15360s^3t^3 - 5880s^3t^2 + 220s^3t^3 + 632s^3 - 2760s^2t^3 + 360s^2t^2 - 1440s^2 + 1542s^2 + 2790st^3 - 2820s^2t^3 - 545s^2t + 880s^2 + 30s^2t^2 - 75st^2 - 72s + 2700t^3 - 240t^3)r^2 + (-3s^5 + 95s^4t - 280s^4t + 3200s^3t^3 - 555s^3t^2 + 460st^3 + 305s - 285st^2 + 140s^2t + 256s^2 - 100st^2 + 15s^2t^2 - 12s + 288t^3 - 24t^2)r + 10s^4t - 20s^4 + 160s^3t^3 - 45s^3t^2 + 60s^2t - 15s^2t^3 - 10s^2t + 20s^2 + 20st^2].\)
(7) $PI(G) = \frac{4}{3} \rho_{xy}^2 ((32s^2 + 32t^2)r^3 + (8s^3 + 3072s^2t^2 - 750s^2t + 54s^2 - 726st^2 + 54st - 8s + 54t^2)r^2 + (8s^3 + 768s^2t^2 - 114s^2t - 32s^2 - 90st^2 - 8s - 32t^2) + (2s^3 + 48s^2t^2 - 9s^2t - 3st^2 - 2s))].$

(8) $S(G) = \frac{8}{15} \rho_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)((-256s^2 + 24s - 256t^2 + 24t)r^3 + (1280s^2t - 300s^2 + 1280st^2 - 240st + 15s - 300t^2 + 15t)r^4 + (960s^3t - 70s^2 + 960st^2 - 120st - 70t^2)r^3 + (-256s^5 + 1280s^4t + 5120s^3t^2 - 720s^5 + 7680s^4t^3 - 1800s^3t^2 + 340s^2t + 300s^2 - 720st^3 - 340st^2 + 240st + 241s + 300(1 - 15t)r^2 + (-96s^5 + 480s^4t + 1920s^3t^2 - 180s^2t + 2880s^2t^3 - 450s^2t^2 - 675st^2 + 326s^2 - 180st^3 - 675st^2 + 120st + 72s + 32t^2 - 24t)r + (-8s^5 + 40s^4t + 160s^3t^2 + 240s^2t^3 - 100s^2t - 100st^2 + 8s)]].$

(9) $Gut(G) = \frac{4}{15} \rho_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)((-2048s^2 + 384s - 2048t^2 + 384t - 36)r^5 + (10240s^2 - 2240s^2 + 10240st^2 - 3840st + 300s - 224t^2 + 300t)r^4 + (5120s^2t - 310s^2 + 5120st^2 - 960t - 310t^2)r^3 + (-2048s^5 + 10240s^4t + 40960s^3t^2 - 11520s^3t + 540s^3 + 61440s^2t^3 - 28800s^2t^2 + 400s^2t + 2105s^2 - 11520st^3 + 400st^2 + 3300st + 1613s + 540t^3 + 2105t^2 - 345t)r^2 + (-512s^5 + 2560s^4t + 10240s^3t^2 - 1440s^2t + 15360s^2t^3 - 3600s^2t^2 - 3480s^2t + 2358s^2 - 1440st^3 - 3480st^2 + 960st + 128s + 2358t^2 - 384t + 36)r + (-32s^5 + 160s^4t + 640s^3t^2 + 960s^2t^3 - 400s^2t - 400st^2 + 32s^5)].$

(10) $Mo(G) = \frac{1}{5} \rho_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)((-32s^2 - 32t^2)r^3 + (1536s^2t^2 - 288s^2t - 288s^2 - 192t^2 - 288st^2 - 192(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 192(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 96(-1)^{r+t}s^2)r^2 + (24(-1)s^2 - 72s^2 - 72st^2 - 112s^2 - 40t^2 + 24(-1)s^2 + 576s^2t^2 - 48(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 48(-1)^{r+t}s^2)r + (6(-1)s^2 - 18s^2 - 6t^2 + 6(-1)s^2 + 48s^2t^2 + 12(-1)^{r+t}s^2)].$

(11) $Mo_v(G) = \frac{1}{5} \rho_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)((-320s^2 - 320t^2)r^3 + (264s + 144t + 432st - 2952st^2 - 2808s^2t - 2304s^2 - 48s^3 - 1536t^2 + 12288s^2t^2 + 144(-1)^{r+t}s + 144(-1)^{r+t}s + 72(-1)^{r+t}s - 1536(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 1536(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 768(-1)^{r+t}s^2)r^2 + (78s + 18t - 18(-1)s + 18(-1)s - 396st^2 - 324s^2t - 544s^2 - 24s^3 - 64t^2 + 192(-1)s^2 + 192(-1)s^2 + 3072s^2t^2 + 36(-1)^{r+t}s + 192(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 48(-1)^{r+t}s^2)r + (24(-1)s^2 + 24(-1)s^2 + 192s^2t^2 + 48(-1)^{r+t}s^2)].$

(12) $w^+ Mo(G) = \frac{2}{7} \rho_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)((-96s^2 + 16s - 96t^2 + 16t)r^3 + (152s + 144t - 48(-1)s - 48(-1)t - 160s^2t^2 - 1728s^2t - 840s^2 + 16s^3 - 552t^2 + 6144s^2t^2 + 96(-1)^{r+t}s + 96(-1)^{r+t}s + 24(-1)^{r+t}s + 24(-1)^{r+t}s - 768(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 768(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 384(-1)^{r+t}s^2)r^2 + (22s + 20t - 12(-1)s - 12(-1)t - 420st^2 - 432s^2t - 216s^2 + 4s^3 - 48t^2 + 48(-1)^{r+t}s^2 + 172s^2t^2 + 24(-1)^{r+t}s^2 + 6(-1)^{r+t}s - 144(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 144(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 24(-1)^{r+t}s^2)r + (12(-1)s^2 - 242s^2t - 48s^2t^2 + 12(-1)^{r+t}s^2 + 24(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 24(-1)^{r+t}s^2]).$

(13) $w^+ Mo_v(G) = \frac{1}{3} \rho_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)((-1024s^2 + 136s - 1024t^2 + 136t)r^3 + (1984s + 1584t + 2088st - 384(-1)s - 384(-1)t - 384(-1)s - 16032st^2 - 15840s^2t + 36(-1)s - 6420s^2 - 64s^2 + 36(-1)t - 4116t^2 - 72(-1)^{r+t}s - 72(-1)^{r+t}s - 18(-1)^{r+t}s + 49152s^2t^2 + 1344(-1)^{r+t}s + 1344(-1)^{r+t}s - 480(-1)^{r+t}s - 6414(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 480(-1)^{r+t}s - 6414(-1)^{r+t}s^2).$
\[
6144(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 3072(-1)^{s+t}s^2 - 198)r^2 + (136s + 8t - 84(-1)^{t}t - 84(-1)^{t}s - 1824st^2 - 1728s^2t - 752s^2 - 32s^3 + 304t^2 + 384(-1)^{s}t^2 + 384(-1)^{s}t^2 + 7680s^2t^2 + 60(-1)^{r+s} + 60(-1)^{r+s} + 96(-1)^{s+t}s - 384(-1)^{s+t}s - 1152(-1)^{s+t}s^2)r + (48(-1)^{s}t^2 - 96t^2 - 192s^2 + 48(-1)^{s}t^2 + 192s^2t^2 + 48(-1)^{s+t}t^2 + 48(-1)^{s+t}s^2 - 96(-1)^{s+t}s^2)
\]

(14) \[w^*Mo(G) = \frac{2}{3}p_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)\left[(-128s^2 + 56s - 128t^2 + 56t)r^3 + (536s + 504t + 96s - 168(-1)^{t}t - 168(-1)^{t}s - 4272st^2 - 4464st^2 - 1344s^2 + 64s - 864t^2 + 12288s^2t^2 + 336(-1)^{r+s} + 336(-1)^{r+s}t^2 + 96(-1)^{s+t}s - 1536(-1)^{s+t}t^2 - 1536(-1)^{r+s}t^2 - 768(-1)^{s+t}t^2)r^2 + (78s + 70t + 24st - 42(-1)^{s}t - 42(-1)^{s}s - 876st^2 - 924st^2 - 112s^2 + 16s^3 + 624t^2 + 24(-1)^{s}t^2 + 24(-1)^{s}s^2 + 2496s^2t^2 + 84(-1)^{r+t}t^2 + 84(-1)^{r+t}t^2 + 24(-1)^{s+t}t^2 - 192(-1)^{s+t}t^2 - 240(-1)^{s+t}s^2)r + (48st^2 + 48s^2t - 66s^2 - 54t^2 + 6(-1)^{s}t^2 + 6(-1)^{s}t^2 - 144st^2 + 48(-1)^{r+t}s^2 + 48(-1)^{r+t}s^2 - 12(-1)^{s+t}s^2)
\]

(15) \[w^*Mo_e(G) = \frac{1}{16}p_{xy}(-1536s^2 + 464s - 1536t^2 + 464t)^3 + (5464s + 4680t + 5664st - 1344(-1)^{t}t - 1344(-1)^{t}s - 39360st^2 - 39744st^2 + 126(-1)^{t} - 9660s^2 + 128s^3 + 126(-1)^{t} - 5820t^2 - 252(-1)^{r+s} - 252(-1)^{r+t} - 12(-1)^{r+s}t + 98304s^2t^2 + 3840(-1)^{r+t}t^2 + 3840(-1)^{r+t}t^2 + 1344(-1)^{s+t}s - 12288(-1)^{s+t}t^2 - 12288(-1)^{s+t}t^2 - 6414(-1)^{s+t}t^2 - 684)r^2 + (6s + 86t - 192st - 186(-1)^{t}t - 186(-1)^{s}t - 2556st^2 - 2676s^2t + 480s^2 + 40s^3 + 13442^2 + 192(-1)^{t}t^2 + 192(-1)^{s}t^2 + 7680s^2t^2 + 192(-1)^{r+t}t + 192(-1)^{r+t}s + 132(-1)^{r+t}s - 1152(-1)^{s+t}s^2)r + (192st^2 + 192st^2 - 264s^2 - 216t^2 + 24(-1)^{s}t^2 + 24(-1)^{s}t^2 - 576s^2t^2 + 192(-1)^{s+t}t^2 + 192(-1)^{s+t}t^2 - 48(-1)^{s+t}t^2)
\]

(16) \[w^*Sz(G) = \frac{16}{15}p_{xy}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)^2\left[(-224s^3 + 3s^2 - 224t^3 + 32t^2)r^5 + (480s^3t - 840s^3 - 80s^2t + 170s^2 + 480st^2 - 80st^2 - 840t^3 + 170t^2)r^4 + (16s^5 - 80s^4t - 400s^3 + 20480s^3t^2 - 960s^3t^2 + 1440s^3t^2 + 250s^3 - 1120s^3t^3 - 500st^3 + 640s^2 + 640st^3 - 500st^2 - 16s + 250t^3)r^3 + (8s^5 - 40s^4t - 360s^4 + 10880s^3t^2 - 480s^3t^2 + 530s^3t + 1350s^3 - 560s^2t^3 + 160s^2t + 310s^2 - 190st^2 - 160st^2 - 8s + 1350t^3 - 170t^2)r^2 + (s^5 - 5s^4t + 105s^4 + 1600s^3t^3 - 60s^3t^2 + 250s^3t + 214s^3 - 70s^2t^3 - 10s^2t + 88s^2 + 40st^2 - 10st^2 - s + 214t^3 - 32t^2)r + (-10s^4 + 40s^3t^3 + 50s^3t + 10s^2 + 30st^3)
\]

(17) \[w^*Sz_e(G) = \frac{4}{15}p_{xy}(-15360s^3 + 3424s^2 + 186s - 15360t^3 + 3424t^2 + 186t)r^5 + (81920s^3t - 60560s^3 - 18240s^2t + 21080s^2t + 81920s^2 - 18240st^2 + 1980st^2 - 2370s - 60560t^3 + 21080t^2 - 3050t + 180)r^4 + (-512s^5 + 12800s^4t - 27040s^4 + 1310720s^3t^3 - 599040s^3t^2 + 226960s^3t + 21220s^3 - 563200s^2t^3 + 155040s^2t^2 - 76690s^2t + 38080s^2 + 172880st^3 - 53910st^2 + 12960st - 7188s + 16400s^3 - 4080t^2)r^3 + (-320s^5 + 10560s^4t - 17960s^4 + 368640s^3t^3 - 126720s^3t^2 - 10350s^3t + 92830s^3 - 96640s^2t^3 + 20040s^2t^2 - 7670s^2t - 5190s^2 - 46270s^2t + 7710st^3 + 330st + 60s + 90290t^3 - 26840t^2 + 2640t - 180)t^2 + (-32s^5 + 2400s^4t - 3320s^4 + 30720s^3t^3 - 12240s^3t^2 + 3100s^3t - 225s^3 - 5200s^2t^3 + 1320s^2t^2 - 2405s^2t + 348is^2 - 3540st^3 + 450st^2 + 120st - 207s - 470t - 64t^2 + 6t)r + (160s^4t - 190s^4 + 640s^3t^3 - 720s^3t^2 + 890s^3t - 240s^3t^3 - 160s^2t + 190s^2 + 510st^3)
\]
(18) \( w^+PI(G) = \frac{8}{3} \rho_{xy}^2 [(128s^2 - 16s + 128t^2 - 16t)r^3 + (32s^3 + 12288s^2t^2 - 3864s^2t + 297s^2 - 3768st^2 + 516st - 26s + 297t^2)r^2 + (24s^3 + 1920s^2t^2 - 390s^2t - 104s^2 - 318st^2 + 15st - 5s - 104t^2 + 16t)r + (4s^3 + 48s^2t^2 - 18s^2t - 6st^2 - 4s)]. \)

(19) \( w^+Sz(G) = \frac{16}{15} \rho_{xy}^2 (\gamma_x + \gamma_y)^2 [(-384s^3 + 112s^2 - 384t^3 + 112t^2)r^5 + (640s^3t - 1120s^3 - 280s^2t + 595s^2 + 640st^3 - 280st^2 - 1120t^3 + 595t^2)r^4 + (64s^3 - 320s^4t - 560s^4 - 40960s^3t^3 - 3360s^2t^2 + 1120s^3t + 760s^3 - 4000s^2t^3 - 1750s^2t + 1280s^2 - 1750st^2 - 64s + 760t^3)r^3 + (32s^5 - 160s^4t - 360s^4 + 18560s^3t^3 - 1680s^3t^2 + 440s^3t + 2650s^3 - 2000s^2t^3 - 560s^2t + 125s^2 - 1160st^3 - 560st^2 - 32s + 2650t - 59t^2)r^2 + (4s^5 - 20s^4t - 75s^4 + 1600s^3t^2 - 210s^2t^2 + 210s^2t + 344s^3 - 250s^2t^3 - 35s^2t + 8s^2 + 60st^3 - 35st^2 - 4s + 34t^4 - 112t^2)r + (-5s^4 - 120s^3t^3 + 95s^3t + 5s^2 + 85st^3)]. \)

(20) \( w^+Sz_c(G) = \frac{1}{15} \rho_{xy}^2 [(-26624s^3 + 9344s^2 - 642s - 26624t^3 + 9344t^2 - 642t^3 + (143360s^3t - 85920s^3 - 49920s^2t + 53515s^2 + 143360st^3 - 49920st^2 + 6840st - 7350s - 85920s^3 + 53515s^2 - 7350t + 630)t^4 + (1024s^5 + 15360st^3 - 38720s^4 + 2621440s^3t^3 - 1290240s^2t^3 + 372640s^2t + 47230s^3 - 1239040s^2t^2 + 434880s^2t^2 - 191030s^2t + 72320s^2 + 295200st^3 - 155910st^2 + 39480st - 16419s + 40430t^3 - 11760t^2 + 458t^3 + 4t^5 + 11840s^3t - 16184s^2t + 532480s^3t^2 - 532480s^3t^2 - 910080s^3t^2 - 81510s^3t + 176990s^3 - 153200s^2t^3 + 52380s^2t^2 + 7130s^2t - 43545s^2 - 113870s^3t^3 + 232500s^2t^2 + 1920st + 4752s + 175110s^3 + 70795s^2 + 8160t - 630)t^2 + (40s^5 + 1880s^4t - 2200s^4 + 10240s^3t^3 - 6480s^2t^3 - 1220s^3t^3 - 5836s^3 - 640s^3t^2 + 2520s^2t^2 + 35s^2t + 3131s^2 - 5620st^3 + 2175st^2 + 60st - 168s - 5976st^3 + 256t^2 + 12t)r + (80st^4 - 95s^3 - 1920s^3t^3 - 360s^3t^2 + 1565s^3t - 120s^2t^3 - 80s^2t + 95s^2 + 1375st^2)]. \)

(21) \( w^+PI(G) = \frac{8}{3} \rho_{xy}^2 [(256s^2 - 56s + 256t^2 - 56t)r^3 + (64s^3 + 24576s^2t^2 - 9168s^2t + 729s^2 - 8976st^2 + 1608st - 55s + 729t^2 - 9)t^2 + (36s^3 + 1920s^2t^2 - 477s^2t - 202s^2 - 369st^2 + 30st + 29s - 202t^2 + 56t)r + (2s^3 - 144s^2t^2 + 15s^2t + 21st^2 - 2s)]. \)

Proof. In all three views, the \( \Theta \)-classes of cuboid zeolite SAS materials are classified similarly to front-type rectangular SAS materials. Let \( \{FFV_i^s, FBV_i^s : 1 \leq i \leq r + s - 1\} \), \( \{FVV_i^s : 1 \leq i \leq t\} \) and \( \{FHV_i^s : 1 \leq i \leq t - 1\} \) be the F-type classes in which \( FHV_i^s \) stands for classes containing double 6-rings from front to back and all other classes have the same description of rectangular SAS. In the same way, we have S-type classes as \( \{SFV_i^t, SBV_i^t : 1 \leq i \leq r + t - 1\} \), \( \{SVV_i^t : 1 \leq i \leq t\} \) and \( \{SHV_i^t : 1 \leq i \leq s - 1\} \). By the structural symmetry of SAS, the topological parameters are obtained for F-type class, say \( f(r, s, t) \), then the corresponding S-type class is \( f(r, t, s) \). Hence, if \( \psi(r, s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{r+s-1} \left[ \psi(FFV_i^s) + \psi(FBV_i^s) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{t} \psi(FVV_i^t) + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \psi(FHV_i^s) \), then \( \psi(r, t, s) = \sum_{i=1}^{r+t-1} \left[ \psi(SFV_i^t) + \psi(SBV_i^t) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \psi(SVV_i^t) + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \psi(SHV_i^t) \), where \( \psi \) is the topological index function. Finally, for T-type we have the classes as \( \{TFV_i^{st}, TBV_i^{st} : 1 \leq i \leq t + s - 1\} \).
The topological parameters of F-type classes are derived in the following.

\[
|FV^r_i| = \begin{cases} 
8ti\rho_{xy} & : 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \\
8rt\rho_{xy} & : r \leq i \leq s \\
|BFV^rs_{r+s-i}| & : s + 1 \leq i \leq r + s - 1 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
|FVV_i| = 2s(3r + 1)\rho_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t \\
|FHV_i| = 6rs\rho_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t - 1
\]

\[
w^+(FV^r_i) = \begin{cases} 
(8i(8t - 1) - 4t)\rho_{xy} & : 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \\
(8r(8t - 1) - 8t)\rho_{xy} & : i = r, \quad r = s \\
(8r(8t - 1) - 6t)\rho_{xy} & : i = r, \quad r < s \\
(8r(8t - 1) - 4t)\rho_{xy} & : r < i < s \\
w^+\left(FBV^rs_{r+s-i}\right) & : s \leq i \leq r + s - 1 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
w^*(FV^r_i) = \begin{cases} 
(4i(32t - 7) - 16t)\rho^2_{xy} & : 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \\
(4r(32t - 7) - 28t)\rho^2_{xy} & : i = r, \quad r = s \\
(4r(32t - 7) - 22t)\rho^2_{xy} & : i = r, \quad r < s \\
(4r(32t - 7) - 16t)\rho^2_{xy} & : r < i < s \\
w^*\left(FBV^rs_{r+s-i}\right) & : s \leq i \leq r + s - 1 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
w^+(FVV_i) = 8(6rs - (r - s))\rho_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t \\
w^*(FVV_i) = 4(24rs - (7r - s))\rho^2_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t
\]

\[
w^+(FHV_i) = 48rs\rho_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t - 1 \\
w^*(FHV_i) = 96rs\rho^2_{xy}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t - 1
\]

\[
n(FV^r_i) = \begin{cases} 
2t(4i + 1)\gamma_x + \gamma_y & : 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \\
2t(2i(4r + 1) - r(4r + 1))\gamma_x + \gamma_y & : r \leq i \leq s \\
n\left(FBV^rs_{r+s-i}\right) & : r + 1 \leq i \leq r + s - 1 
\end{cases}
\]
\[ m(FFV_{rs}^i) = \begin{cases} 
   i((32t - 3)i - 3t)\rho_{xy} & : 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \\
   (2(32rt + 4t - 3i)i - r(32rt + 11t - 3r))\rho_{xy} & : r \leq i \leq s \\
   m(FBV_{r+s-i}^s) & : s + 1 \leq i \leq r + s - 1 
\end{cases} \]

\[ n(FVV_i) = 2s(4r + 1)(2i - 1)(\gamma_x + \gamma_y), \ 1 \leq i \leq t \]

\[ m(FVV_i) = (2i(32rs - 3r + 4s) - (38rs - 3r + 5s))\rho_{xy}, \ 1 \leq i \leq t \]

\[ n(FHV_i) = 4s(4r + 1)i(\gamma_x + \gamma_y), \ 1 \leq i \leq t - 1 \]

\[ m(FHV_i) = 2((32rs - 3r + 4s)i - 3rs)\rho_{xy}, \ 1 \leq i \leq t - 1 \]

The topological parameters of T-type classes are presented in the following.

\[ |TFV_{st}^i| = \begin{cases} 
   (4r + 2)i\rho_{xy} & : 1 \leq i \leq s - 1 \\
   (4r + 2)s\rho_{xy} & : s \leq i \leq t \\
   |TBV_{t+s-i}^{ts}| & : t + 1 \leq i \leq t + s - 1 
\end{cases} \]

\[ w^+(TFV_{st}^i) = \begin{cases} 
   (8i(4r + 1) - 2r)\rho_{xy} & : 1 \leq i \leq s - 1 \\
   (8s(4r + 1) - 4r)\rho_{xy} & : i = s, \ s = t \\
   (8s(4r + 1) - 3r)\rho_{xy} & : i = s, \ s < t \\
   (8s(4r + 1) - 2r)\rho_{xy} & : s < i < t \\
   w^+(TBV_{t+s-i}^{ts}) & : t \leq i \leq t + s - 1 
\end{cases} \]

\[ w^*(TFV_{st}^i) = \begin{cases} 
   (4i(16r + 1) - 8r)\rho_{xy}^2 & : 1 \leq i \leq s - 1 \\
   (4s(16r + 1) - 14r)\rho_{xy}^2 & : i = s, \ s = t \\
   (4s(16r + 1) - 11r)\rho_{xy}^2 & : i = s, \ s < t \\
   (4s(16r + 1) - 8r)\rho_{xy}^2 & : s < i < t \\
   w^*(TBV_{t+s-i}^{ts}) & : t \leq i \leq t + s - 1 
\end{cases} \]

\[ n(TFV_{st}^i) = \begin{cases} 
   2i^2(4r + 1)(\gamma_x + \gamma_y) & : 1 \leq i \leq s - 1 \\
   2s(8ri - 4sr + 2i - s)(\gamma_x + \gamma_y) & : s \leq i \leq t \\
   n(TBV_{t+s-i}^{ts}) & : t + 1 \leq i \leq s + t - 1 
\end{cases} \]
\[ m(TFV_{i}^{s}) = \begin{cases} 
    i(4(8r + 1)i - 8r - 1)\rho_{xy} & : 1 \leq i \leq s - 1 \\
    (2i(32rs + 4s - 3r) - 4s^2(8r + 1) - s(2r + 1))\rho_{xy} & : s \leq i \leq t \\
    m(TBV_{i+s-i}^{s}) & : t + 1 \leq i \leq t + s - 1 
\end{cases} \]

Hence, \( \psi(SAS(r, s, t)) = \psi(r, s, t) + \psi(r, t, s) + 4 \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} TI(TFV_{i}^{s}) + 2 \sum_{i=s}^{t} TI(TBV_{i}^{s}). \Box \)

### 3.1 Degree-based Topological Indices

The goal of the section is to compute the degree-based topological indices of zeolite SAS which depend on the edge partition of \( SAS(r, s, t) \). Moreover, zeolite SAS materials have only \( x-y \) bond types, we consider the SAS materials with unit weight for each atom and bond. We notice that SAS has bonds with terminal degrees as 3–3, 3–4 and 4–4. Hence, the bond partition \( D(SAS(r, s, t)) \) based on the degrees is given below.

\[ |D_{33}| = 4[(3rs + 4st + 3tr) + (r + 2s + 2t)], \]
\[ |D_{34}| = 4[(3rs + 4st + 3tr) - 2(r + 2s + 2t)], \]
\[ |D_{44}| = 2[32rst - (15rs + 12st + 15tr) + 2(r + 2s + 2t)]. \]

We can readily compute the degree-based topological quantities of SAS materials in light of the above edge partition by simple calculations given below.

**Theorem 3.3.** For \( r, s, t \geq 1 \), let \( G = SAS(r, s, t) \).

1. \( M_1(G) = 4[128rst - (21rs - 4st + 21tr)]. \)
2. \( M_2(G) = 4[256rst - (57rs + 12st + 57tr) + (r + 2s + 2t)]. \)
3. \( R(G) = \frac{1}{6}[96rst - 3(7rs + 2st + 7tr) + 4\sqrt{3}(3rs + 4st + 3tr) + (14 - 8\sqrt{3})(r + 2s + 2t)]. \)
4. \( ABC(G) = \frac{1}{6}[96\sqrt{6}rst + (4\sqrt{15} + 16)(3rs + 4st + 3tr) - 9\sqrt{6}(5rs + 4st + 5tr) + (6\sqrt{6} - 8\sqrt{15} + 16)(r + 2s + 2t)]. \)
5. \( H(G) = \frac{1}{42}[672rst - (3rs - 164st + 3tr) + 2(r + 2s + 2t)]. \)
6. \( HM(G) = 4[1024rst - (225rs + 44st + 225tr) + 2(r + 2s + 2t)]. \)
7. \( SC(G) = \frac{1}{84}[1344\sqrt{2}rst - 126\sqrt{2}(5rs + 4st + 5tr) + (56\sqrt{6} + 48\sqrt{7})(3rs + 4st + 3tr) + (84\sqrt{2} + 56\sqrt{6} - 96\sqrt{7})(r + 2s + 2t)]. \)
(8) \[ GA(G) = \frac{1}{7}[448rst - 14(9rs + 4st + 9tr) + 16\sqrt{3}(3rs + 4st + 3tr) + (56 - 32\sqrt{3})(r + 2s + 2t)]. \]

(9) \[ irr(G) = 4[(3rs + 4st + 3tr) - 2(r + 2s + 2t)]. \]

(10) \[ F(G) = 4[512rst - (111rs + 20st + 111tr)]. \]

(11) \[ SDD(G) = \frac{1}{3}[384rst - (33rs - 52st + 33tr) - 2(r + 2s + 2t)]. \]

3.2 Numerical Computations

In this section, we present the numerical values of topological measures of cubic SAS materials by substituting the relativistic parameters as \( \gamma_x = \gamma_y = \rho_{xy} = 1 \) in Table 1 and the graphical representation in Figure 5. The numerical values for the topological indices were also cross validated with computations carried out using TopoChemie-2020 [38].

Table 1: Topological measures of cubic SAS zeolite of dimension \( r \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>( r = 2 )</th>
<th>( r = 3 )</th>
<th>( r = 4 )</th>
<th>( r = 5 )</th>
<th>( r = 6 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( irr )</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H )</td>
<td>143.52</td>
<td>466.57</td>
<td>1085.14</td>
<td>2095.24</td>
<td>3592.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R )</td>
<td>143.76</td>
<td>467.28</td>
<td>1086.56</td>
<td>2097.61</td>
<td>3596.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( SC )</td>
<td>188.25</td>
<td>627.04</td>
<td>1476.62</td>
<td>2872.77</td>
<td>4951.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ABC )</td>
<td>317.25</td>
<td>1066.89</td>
<td>2524.08</td>
<td>4923.97</td>
<td>8501.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( GA )</td>
<td>495.18</td>
<td>1689.54</td>
<td>4027.07</td>
<td>7891.79</td>
<td>13667.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( SDD )</td>
<td>998.67</td>
<td>3404</td>
<td>8104</td>
<td>15866.67</td>
<td>27460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M_1 )</td>
<td>3488</td>
<td>12456</td>
<td>30336</td>
<td>60200</td>
<td>105120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M_2 )</td>
<td>6216</td>
<td>23172</td>
<td>57552</td>
<td>115500</td>
<td>203160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F )</td>
<td>12512</td>
<td>46584</td>
<td>115584</td>
<td>231800</td>
<td>407520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( HM )</td>
<td>24944</td>
<td>92928</td>
<td>230688</td>
<td>462800</td>
<td>813840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M )</td>
<td>51392</td>
<td>672192</td>
<td>3958528</td>
<td>15395200</td>
<td>46491840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Mo_e )</td>
<td>91840</td>
<td>1246032</td>
<td>7476224</td>
<td>29406400</td>
<td>89479872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( PI )</td>
<td>234384</td>
<td>2778376</td>
<td>15906464</td>
<td>61347720</td>
<td>184509104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W )</td>
<td>343744</td>
<td>5417872</td>
<td>39444352</td>
<td>183595904</td>
<td>647056448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w^+Mo )</td>
<td>355712</td>
<td>4921920</td>
<td>29608448</td>
<td>116828800</td>
<td>355847040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_{ev} )</td>
<td>547072</td>
<td>9369208</td>
<td>70135168</td>
<td>334040264</td>
<td>1195634432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w^+Mo )</td>
<td>619904</td>
<td>9080832</td>
<td>55762432</td>
<td>222995200</td>
<td>684589440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( w^+Mo_e )</td>
<td>635648</td>
<td>9124704</td>
<td>55924224</td>
<td>223169600</td>
<td>684910080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Computations of Spectra and Vertex Partitions and Their Applications to Spectroscopy

One of the most important applications of graph theoretical analysis of networks of zeolites is the ability to predict their graph spectra and the vertex partitions of the networks which in turn can be applied to machine learning of NMR spectra as well as ESR hyperfine structures of these zeolites. In this section we employ the distance degree based sequence vector (DDSV) technique to partition the vertices of these networks as an algorithm for the machine learning of spectral features of these networks. Moreover we also obtain the graph spectra of these networks and compare the graph spectral properties of $SAS(r, s)$ and $SAS(r, s, t)$.

We consider five zeolites namely, $SAS(2, 2)$, $SAS(2, 3)$, $SAS(3, 3)$, $SAS(2, 2, 2)$ and $SAS(3, 3, 3)$ to demonstrate the techniques. The vectorial distance degree sequence (DDSV) of a vertex $v$ in a general graph $G$ is defined as an integer sequence which is comprised of the number of vertices at distances $0, 1, 2, ..., e_v$, where $e_v$ is the eccentricity of $v$ in $G$. The DDSV can be denoted by a $p$-tuple vector $(d_{i0}, d_{i1}, d_{i2}, ..., d_{ij}, ..., d_{ip})$ for each vertex $v_i$ in the graph where $d_{ij}$ is the number of vertices at distance $j$ from the vertex $v_i$. The algorithm for computing the DDSV starts with the generation of graph distance matrix from the adjacency matrix. The DDSV is for each vertex is computed by using the computer code TopoChemie-2020. Two equivalent vertices under the graph automorphism would have the same DDSV although we note that the converse is not true. For the zeolite network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>$r = 2$</th>
<th>$r = 3$</th>
<th>$r = 4$</th>
<th>$r = 5$</th>
<th>$r = 6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W_e$</td>
<td>867140</td>
<td>16008438</td>
<td>124548296</td>
<td>607256866</td>
<td>2207996556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^*Mo_e$</td>
<td>1107776</td>
<td>16837248</td>
<td>105334016</td>
<td>426003200</td>
<td>1317723456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^+PI$</td>
<td>1648160</td>
<td>20451616</td>
<td>119667968</td>
<td>467457680</td>
<td>1417742624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>2331136</td>
<td>39060544</td>
<td>289314304</td>
<td>1369341056</td>
<td>4881033728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^*PI$</td>
<td>2936704</td>
<td>38041064</td>
<td>227006656</td>
<td>896805640</td>
<td>2739860416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Gut$</td>
<td>3948960</td>
<td>69674992</td>
<td>530356672</td>
<td>2552785184</td>
<td>9203637728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Sz$</td>
<td>7853440</td>
<td>268360512</td>
<td>3378570752</td>
<td>122886909312</td>
<td>9203637728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Sz_{exv}$</td>
<td>12535168</td>
<td>460880880</td>
<td>6022680576</td>
<td>2552785184</td>
<td>9203637728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Sz_e$</td>
<td>19947912</td>
<td>790282572</td>
<td>10726108960</td>
<td>44394423440</td>
<td>227485488768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^+Sz$</td>
<td>55482112</td>
<td>1985527296</td>
<td>25532505088</td>
<td>18625098560</td>
<td>947448168192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^*Sz$</td>
<td>99556096</td>
<td>3716662848</td>
<td>48687512576</td>
<td>35887163980</td>
<td>1837780599552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^+Sz_{exv}$</td>
<td>141072464</td>
<td>5849768256</td>
<td>81077530304</td>
<td>618888859960</td>
<td>324596498320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^*Sz_e$</td>
<td>253453200</td>
<td>1095530164</td>
<td>154642470336</td>
<td>1192649352860</td>
<td>6296045739600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>$r = 2$</th>
<th>$r = 3$</th>
<th>$r = 4$</th>
<th>$r = 5$</th>
<th>$r = 6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W_e$</td>
<td>867140</td>
<td>16008438</td>
<td>124548296</td>
<td>607256866</td>
<td>2207996556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^*Mo_e$</td>
<td>1107776</td>
<td>16837248</td>
<td>105334016</td>
<td>426003200</td>
<td>1317723456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^+PI$</td>
<td>1648160</td>
<td>20451616</td>
<td>119667968</td>
<td>467457680</td>
<td>1417742624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>2331136</td>
<td>39060544</td>
<td>289314304</td>
<td>1369341056</td>
<td>4881033728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^*PI$</td>
<td>2936704</td>
<td>38041064</td>
<td>227006656</td>
<td>896805640</td>
<td>2739860416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Gut$</td>
<td>3948960</td>
<td>69674992</td>
<td>530356672</td>
<td>2552785184</td>
<td>9203637728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Sz$</td>
<td>7853440</td>
<td>268360512</td>
<td>3378570752</td>
<td>122886909312</td>
<td>9203637728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Sz_{exv}$</td>
<td>12535168</td>
<td>460880880</td>
<td>6022680576</td>
<td>2552785184</td>
<td>9203637728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Sz_e$</td>
<td>19947912</td>
<td>790282572</td>
<td>10726108960</td>
<td>44394423440</td>
<td>227485488768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^+Sz$</td>
<td>55482112</td>
<td>1985527296</td>
<td>25532505088</td>
<td>18625098560</td>
<td>947448168192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^*Sz$</td>
<td>99556096</td>
<td>3716662848</td>
<td>48687512576</td>
<td>35887163980</td>
<td>1837780599552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^+Sz_{exv}$</td>
<td>141072464</td>
<td>5849768256</td>
<td>81077530304</td>
<td>618888859960</td>
<td>324596498320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w^*Sz_e$</td>
<td>253453200</td>
<td>1095530164</td>
<td>154642470336</td>
<td>1192649352860</td>
<td>6296045739600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
structures considered here, the DDSV technique offers a viable technique for the vertex partitioning. There are $|V|$ such DDSV vectors of variable lengths for a network containing $|V|$ vertices. As the DDSV vector is of variable length, we concatenate all the entries of a given DDSV into a single long integer label assigned to each vertex. The concatenation algorithm thus employed offers a simple and yet viable alternative to comparison of long integer labels of different vertices in order to arrive at the vertex partitions on the basis of the concatenated labels on the vertices. In addition we have also computed the graph spectra of the networks in order to compare them. Table 2 compares the vertex partitions and graph spectra of five SAS zeolites (three square and two cubic SAS zeolites).
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Figure 5: Graphical representation of topological values of cubic zeolite $SAS(r, r, r)$

As can be seen from Table 2, the vertices of three square SAS structures exhibit the partition $4^i 8^j$ for some integers $i$ and $j$. In particular the $SAS(2, 2)$, $SAS(2, 3)$ and $SAS(3, 3)$ structures exhibit $4^8 16$, $4^8 24$ and $4^8 36$ vertex partitions, respectively. The vertex partitions have direct a bearing on the corresponding NMR spectra depending on the nuclei included in the partitions. Likewise a free radical generated from the zeolite would exhibit ESR hyperfine patterns arising from the coupling of...
the unpaired electron with the nuclei in the partition closest to the unpaired electron. The cubic SAS structures exhibit different types of vertex partitions with 16-membered and 8-membered classes (Table 2). The $SAS(2,2,2)$ cubic structure exhibits the vertex partition $16^4 8^4$ whereas the $SAS(3,3,3)$ cubic structure exhibits the $16^{54} 8^9$ vertex partition.

Table 2: Vertex partitions and spectral properties of SAS zeolite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zeolite Type</th>
<th>Square</th>
<th>Cubic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$SAS(2,2)$</td>
<td>$SAS(2,3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>\mid$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>\mid$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertex Partitions From DDSV</td>
<td>$4^4 8^{16}$</td>
<td>$4^6 8^{24}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMO-LUMO</td>
<td>0.03355$\beta$</td>
<td>0.026866$\beta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral Diameter</td>
<td>7.303612$\beta$</td>
<td>7.34931$\beta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirror Symmetry of Spectra</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Degrees</td>
<td>3−3</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3−4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4−4</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HOMO and LUMO are both doubly-degenerate

Figure 6 shows the ESR hyperfine structures arising from the interaction of the unpaired electron for the square and cubic SAS structures. For the square structure we have shown the machine-generated ESR hyperfine pattern arising from the interaction of an unpaired electron with two kinds of nuclear partitions, one containing two sets of 8 nuclei and the other containing an equivalence class of 4 nuclei that are nearest to the unpaired electron. Since the partitions containing 8 and 4 vertices seems to be common in the square SAS zeolites under consideration we have chosen these sets of equivalence classes which we call $8 \times 8 \times 4$ partition coupling. The result of such an ESR hyperfine structure for the SAS zeolites is shown in Figure 6a as a typical example. Likewise as the cubic SAS structures exhibit $16^i 8^j$ partitions, we show in Figure 6b, machine-generated ESR hyperfine structure arising from the coupling of an unpaired electron with two sets of 16 nuclei and one set of 8 nuclei. It can be seen from Figure 6a and Figure 6b, the square and cubic structures exhibit contrasting hyperfine patterns. The actual spectra would depend on the nuclear isotopes in the partition that are closest to the unpaired electron.
The graph spectra of the SAS zeolites are the eigenvalues of the corresponding characteristic polynomials of the network. The graph spectra of these networks get crowded due to a large number of vertices and owing to the fact that the maximal degrees of the vertices is 4. Thus even though we show the various spectral values in terms of the Hückel energy parameter $\beta$, these values should not be directly interpreted as energy values. A general trend that we see is that the gap between the largest negative eigenvalue and the smallest positive eigenvalue (HOMO-LUMO gap) decreases as the network size increases due to the crowding of graph spectra as the size of the network increases. The spectral diameter which is defined as the difference between the lowest and highest eigenvalues increases as a function of the zeolite size. The diameter must be confined to two times the maximal vertex degree, and thus the spectral crowding arises from this constraint. All the SAS structures considered here exhibit spectral mirror symmetry as these are bipartite graphs and thus spectral pairing occurs in that for each eigenvalue $\lambda_i$ there exists an eigenvalue $-\lambda_i$ in the graph network spectra. In comparing the cubic structures with the square structures, we note that there are several doubly-degenerate eigenvalues for the cubic structures whereas for the square structures the eigenvalues do not exhibit any degeneracy. For the $SAS(2, 2, 2)$, the HOMO and LUMO are both doubly-degenerate while this is not the case for the $SAS(3, 3, 3)$ cubic structure suggesting the possibility of an odd-even alternation relative to the degeneracy of the HOMOs and LUMOs for the cubic structures.
4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the graph theoretical framework topology of zeolite SAS networks by incorporating the relativistic quantum parameters through weighted chemical graphs of the networks. As the investigation of such complex SAS networks can be a daunting task, we have employed an elegant graph theoretical cut methods to derive various topological indices of these networks. Such techniques would have potential future applications in computing physicochemical properties of large SAS zeolites containing very heavy atoms and thus could find applications in the fields of catalysis, gas separation, and environmental remediation. This study will also give new impetus for the potential synthesis of new isomorphic zeotypes of industrial, medical and environmental importance. Graph-theoretically implemented algorithms could pave the way for machine learning and artificial intelligence applications to structures and spectroscopy of these cages including analysis of various channels and pathways for transport of materials through porous media.
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