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A conjecture of Cyvin (Cyvin, J. J. Math. Chem. 1992, 9, 389) concerning mono-\(q\)-polyhex graphs is proved for \(q \leq 6\) and disproved for \(q > 6\). The correct result for \(q > 6\) is then established.

1. INTRODUCTION

A polyhex can be defined as a graph \(H\) which is obtained from the hexagonal lattice \(L_6\) of the plane by taking a cycle \(C\) in the graph of \(L_6\) and defining \(H\) to be the part of \(L_6\) in the disk bounded by \(C\), including \(C\). Similarly, a mono-\(q\)-polyhex, \(q\) an integer greater than 3, is obtained from the mono-\(q\)-hexagonal lattice (all faces are hexagons except one which is a \(q\)-gon, and three faces meet at each vertex) by specifying a cycle \(C\) such that the \(q\)-gon is included in the disk bounded by \(C\).

A simple description of the mono-\(q\)-hexagonal lattice is as follows. Let \(L_1\) be one-sixth of the hexagonal lattice obtained by taking one of its hexagons \(Q\), the middle point \(x\) of \(Q\), and the six rays from \(x\) through each vertex of \(Q\) toward infinity (see Figure 1). Then \(L_1\) is one of the wedges of the plane between the two consecutive rays. The mono-\(q\)-hexagonal lattice is then obtained by taking \(q\) copies of \(L_1\) and identifying their sides in circular order. Note that \(L_3, L_4, L_5\), and \(L_6\) can be realized in 3-space so that all the hexagons remain congruent (as an unbounded cone with its apex in the middle of the \(q\)-gon), while \(L_7, L_8, \ldots\) cannot be modeled with congruent hexagons due to their hyperbolic nature. The above description of \(L_q\) also helps us to represent the mono-\(q\)-polyhexes by specifying the \(q\) wedges in the copies of \(L_1\) (see Figure 2).

Let \(H\) be a mono-\(q\)-polyhex, and let \(n_{\text{int}}\) and \(h\) denote the number of internal vertices of \(H\) and the number of hexagons (if \(q = 6\), let \(h\) be the number of hexagons minus 1, so that the \(q\)-face is not counted by \(h\)), respectively. A useful relation was derived by Gutman* in case of \(q = 6\):

\[ n_{\text{int}} \leq 2h + 3 - [(12h + 9)^{1/2}] \]  

and it was conjectured by Cyvin1 that (1) can be generalized to

\[ n_{\text{int}} \leq 2h - [(4rh + r^2)^{1/2} - r] \]  

where \(r = q/2\), and \([x]\) denotes the ceiling of \(x\).

In this paper we prove (2) for \(q \leq 6\) (theorem 2.1) and also show that this bound is the best possible (theorem 2.2). On the other hand, for every \(q \geq 7\) we construct infinitely many counterexamples for (2). The correct result for \(q > 6\) is then provided as theorem 3.1.

The mono-\(q\)-polyhexes have some chemical significance as interesting molecular graphs. Take, for example, the \(q\)-circulenes. The corannulene \(C_{20}H_{10}\) is a \((5)\)-circulene; the coronene \(C_{24}H_{12}\) corresponds to a \((6)\)-circulene. A \((7)\)-circulene, \(C_{28}H_{16}\), has also been synthesized,4 and a synthesis of \((8)\)-circulene \(O_{32}H_{16}\) has been attempted.5

2. CASE \(q \leq 6\)

In this section we will prove the following two theorems.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \(H\) be a mono-\(q\)-polyhex where \(q \leq 6\). Then (2) holds.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \(q \geq 3\) and \(r = q/2\). There are infinitely many mono-\(q\)-polyhexes for which

\[ n_{\text{int}} = 2h + r - (4rh + r^2)^{1/2} \]  

Let us first describe the special extremal mono-\(q\)-polyhexes of theorem 2.2. Note that the square root will be an integer or a half-integer (if \(q\) is odd) in this case. It is worth mentioning that it also follows from our proofs that the constructed examples are the only ones for which (3) holds if \(q \leq 6\) (while in (2) we may have equality for many other mono-\(q\)-polyhexes).

Let \(k \geq 0\) be an integer, and let \(H(q,k)\) be the mono-\(q\)-polyhex that contains the \(q\)-gon \(Q\) plus all the hexagons that are at a distance (measured by the number of hexagons) of at most \(k\) from \(Q\). One easily verifies that the number of hexagons in \(H(q,k)\) (not counting \(Q\) if \(q = 6\)) is equal to

\[ h = q(1 + 2 + 3 + \ldots + k) = qk(k + 1)/2 \]  
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Similarly,
\[ n_{\text{int}} = q(1 + 3 + 5 + \ldots + 2k - 1) = qk^2 \]  
(5)

Using (4) and (5), it is easy to verify that eq 3 is satisfied. We thus have proved theorem 2.2.

Let \( H \) be a mono-\( q \)-polyhex. Denote by \( n_2 \) and \( n_3 \) the number of vertices on the boundary of \( H \) that are of degree 2 or 3 in \( H \), respectively. By summing the degrees of vertices in \( H \) we get
\[ 2e = 3n_{\text{int}} + 3n_3 + 2n_2 \]  
(6)

where \( e \) is the number of edges. By counting the number of incident vertex-face pairs in two ways we obtain the following relation:
\[ 6h + q = 3n_{\text{int}} + 2n_3 + n_2 \]  
(7)

Note that \( H \) has \( n_{\text{int}} + n_3 + n_2 \) vertices and \( h + 2 \) faces (counting the unbounded face as well). Therefore we get by using Euler's formula and (6) and (7)
\[ 3n_{\text{int}} + 2n_3 + n_2 - q = 6h = -6(n_{\text{int}} + n_3 + n_2) + 6e = 3n_{\text{int}} + 3n_3 \]

It follows that
\[ 2h = n_{\text{int}} + n_3 \]  
(8)

and
\[ n_3 = n_3 + q \]  
(9)

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of theorem 2.1. We suppose that \( H \) is a mono-\( q \)-polyhex for which (2) does not hold, i.e.,
\[ n_{\text{int}} > 2h + r - (4rh + r^2)^{1/2} \]  
(10)

We will first show that \( H \) gives rise to another mono-\( q \)-polyhex \( H' \) violating (2) such that \( H' \) has no two consecutive boundary vertices of degree 3. This will be achieved by a sequence of additions of hexagons to \( H \), as it is described below. After that, we will show that (2) is satisfied for mono-\( q \)-polyhexes having the above property of \( H' \), thus obtaining a contradiction.

Consider the operation on \( H \) shown schematically in Figure 3. The drawing has to be understood as a part of the mono-\( q \)-hexagonal lattice, and the bold path is a part of \( C \), the boundary of \( H \). It is assumed that \( H \) is “below” \( C \) at this part of \( C \). Suppose that the boundary cycle \( C \) of \( H \) has a local pit as shown on the left in Figure 3. Suppose, moreover, that the two vertices \( a \) and \( b \) above the pit are not vertices of \( H \). Then we may change \( C \) to another cycle \( C' \) as shown on the right-hand side of Figure 3 (we add a hexagon). Then \( C' \) determines another mono-\( q \)-polyhex \( H' \). We claim that \( H' \) also violates (2). Let \( n'_{\text{int}} \) and \( h' \) be the parameters of \( H' \). Then \( n'_{\text{int}} = n_{\text{int}} + 2 \) and \( h' = h + 1 \). By (10), it follows that
\[ n'_{\text{int}} > 2h' + r - (4rh' + r^2)^{1/2} > 2h + r - (4rh + r^2)^{1/2} \]

which we were to show.

The operation of Figure 3 is called the flattening of a pit. We use the same name for the operation in Figure 4. It is clear that the claim of the previous paragraph also holds in this case. The same is true if we have a pit corresponding to four consecutive vertices on \( C \) that are of degree 3 in \( H \).
Figure 5. All hexagons on the boundary.

$H'$ would violate the same condition. By the induction hypothesis for $H''$, we have $n''^2n''^3 \geq 2qh''$. But $n''^2 = n_2 - q$, $n''^3 = n_3 - q$, and $h'' = h - n_3$. Therefore,

$$n''^2n''^3 = n_2n_3 - q(n_3 + n_2 - q) \geq 2qh'' = 2qh - 2qn_3$$

By using (9) we derive (11).

The other case is when $Q$ is on the boundary of $H'$. Let us first consider the case $q = 6$. In this case we may use any hexagon to be $Q$. Therefore we may assume that every hexagon is on the boundary. In this case, $H'$ is one of the polyhexes shown in Figure 5. For them, (11) is easy to prove.

We are left with the case $q < 6$ and $Q$ on the boundary. By adding $6 - q$ vertices of degree 2 on an edge of $Q$ on the boundary of $H'$, we get a mono-6-polyhex for which we have the inequality (11). It can be written as

$$(n_2 + 6 - q)n_3 \geq 12h$$

(12)

It follows that $n_2n_3 \geq 12h - (6 - q)n_3 = 2qh + (6 - q)(2h - n_3)$. By (8) we have $2h - n_3 \geq 0$, and (11) follows.

3. CASE $q > 6$

Suppose now that $q > 6$. Take the polyhex $H(6,k)$ introduced in the proof of theorem 2.2 and subdivide an edge on the boundary by inserting $q - 6$ vertices of degree 2. We get a mono-$q$-polyhex satisfying (2) for $q = 6$ and not for the real value $q > 6$. These examples thus disprove Cyvin's conjecture given in ref 1. We claim that the bound

$$n_{\text{int}} \leq 2h + 3 - (12h + 9)^{1/2}$$

(13)

is the best possible. (Note that $2h + 3 - (12h + 9)^{1/2} \geq 2h + r - (4rh + r^2)^{1/2}$ with the equality only in the case when $h = 0$.) Also in this case we may use the flattening of pits in order to get a mono-$q$-polyhex $H'$ with no two adjacent vertices on the boundary having degree 3 in $H'$. Now, (13) is equivalent to the condition

$$n_3(n_3 + 6) \geq 12h$$

(14)

Now we use induction. If the $q$-gon $Q$ is not on the boundary of $H'$, we delete all hexagons on $\partial H'$ to get $H''$. By the induction hypothesis we have $n''_3(n''_3 + 6) \geq 12h$. As $n''_3 = n''_2 - q = n_3 - q$ and $h'' = h - n_3$, we easily derive (14). The remaining case is when $Q$ is on the boundary of $H'$. Since $H'$ has no two adjacent vertices of degree 3 on its boundary, two consecutive edges of $Q$ lie on $\partial H'$. Then we may replace these two edges by a single one and obtain a mono-$(q - 1)$-polyhex satisfying (13) (by induction on $q$). Since $h$ and $n_{\text{int}}$ have not been changed by this, (13) holds for $H'$ as well. We proved the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** If $q \geq 6$ then (13) holds. For every fixed value of $q$, there are infinitely many mono-$q$-polyhexes for which the equality holds in (13).
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