

## SUBLINEAR HIGSON CORONA AND LIPSCHITZ EXTENSIONS

M. CENCELJ, J. DYDAK, J. SMREKAR, AND A. VAVPETIČ

Communicated by Charles Hagopian

**ABSTRACT.** We show that the dimension of the sublinear Higson corona of a metric space  $X$  is the smallest non-negative integer  $m$  with the following property: Any norm-preserving asymptotically Lipschitz function from a closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  to the Euclidean space of dimension  $m+1$  extends to a norm-preserving asymptotically Lipschitz function from  $X$  to the Euclidean space of dimension  $m+1$ . As an application we obtain another proof of the following result of Dranishnikov and Smith: Let  $X$  be a cocompact proper metric space, which is  $M$ -connected for some  $M$ , and has the asymptotic Assouad-Nagata dimension finite. Then this dimension equals the dimension of the sublinear Higson corona of  $X$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [6] Dranishnikov and Smith related the dimension  $\dim(\nu_L(X))$  of the sublinear Higson corona  $\nu_L(X)$  of  $X$  (see Section 4) to the asymptotic Assouad-Nagata dimension  $\text{asdim}_{AN}(X)$  of  $X$  as follows:

**Theorem 1.1** (Dranishnikov-Smith). *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a connected cocompact proper metric space. If  $\text{asdim}_{AN}(X)$  is finite, then  $\text{asdim}_{AN}(X) = \dim(\nu_L(X))$ .*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54F45; Secondary 55M10, 54C65.

*Key words and phrases.* Assouad-Nagata dimension, Higson compactifications, Higson corona, Lipschitz functions, Lipschitz extensors.

Supported in part by the Slovenian Research Agency grants BIUS/07-08/029, P1-0292-0101 and J1-2057-0101.

The second-named author was partially supported by Grant No. 2004047 from the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF), Jerusalem, Israel.

Since Assouad-Nagata dimension of  $X$  is related to the sphere  $S^m$  being a Lipschitz extensor of  $X$  (see [3] and [9]), a natural problem arises of expressing  $\dim(\nu_L(X))$  in terms of Lipschitz extensions.

Dranishnikov [5] (p.1105) introduced dimension  $\dim^c(X)$  for proper metric spaces  $X$  as the smallest integer  $n \geq 0$  such that any proper asymptotically Lipschitz function  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $A$  closed in  $X$ , extends to a proper asymptotically Lipschitz function  $f': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . Thus,  $\dim^c(X) \leq n$  if and only if  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  is an absolute extensor of  $X$  in the asymptotic category  $\mathcal{A}$  of [5]. His basic result is that  $\dim^c(X)$  equals the dimension of Higson corona  $\nu(X)$  of  $X$  ([5], Theorem 6.6 on p.1111).

In this paper we consider the case of a special class of proper functions to  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ : the norm-preserving functions. Our spaces are assumed to be equipped with a base-point  $x_0$ . In case of Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^m$  the base-point is always 0. Now, the *norm*  $|x|$  of  $x$  is defined to be  $d(x, x_0)$ .  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$  is *norm-preserving* if  $|f(x)| = |x|$  for all  $x \in X$ .

In analogy to  $\dim^c(X)$  of Dranishnikov we introduce the *sublinear asymptotic dimension*  $\text{asdim}_L(X)$  as the smallest integer  $n \geq 0$  such that any norm-preserving asymptotically Lipschitz function  $f: A \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  extends to a norm-preserving asymptotically Lipschitz function  $f': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . Our main result is that  $\text{asdim}_L(X)$  equals the dimension of sublinear Higson corona  $\nu_L(X)$  of  $X$  if  $X$  is proper.

Another way of generalizing  $\dim^c$  would be to look at the smallest integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  is an absolute extensor of  $X$  in the category  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  of [5]. In Section 6 we show that definition to be equivalent to  $\text{asdim}_L$ .

As an application of our results we prove a slight generalization of 1.1 (see 5.3).

## 2. NORM-PRESERVING LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS

An  $\epsilon$ -net in a metric space  $X$  is a subset  $X_1$  of  $X$  such that  $d(x, X_1) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in X$ .

A metric space  $X$  is *discrete* if there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) \geq \epsilon$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ . In that case the term  $\epsilon$ -discrete will be used.

A function  $f: (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$  of metric spaces is *asymptotically Lipschitz* (or *large scale Lipschitz*) if there are numbers  $\lambda, M \geq 0$  such that  $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq \lambda \cdot d_X(x, y) + M$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . We will use the shortcut of  $(\lambda, M)$ -asymptotically Lipschitz in such case. If  $M = 0$ , then such maps are called *Lipschitz* or  $\lambda$ -*Lipschitz*. Also, the notation  $\text{Lip}(f) \leq \lambda$  is being used in that case. More precisely,  $\text{Lip}(f)$  is defined as  $\sup\{\frac{d_Y(f(x), f(y))}{d_X(x, y)} \mid x \neq y \in X\}$ .

Notice that if  $X$  is  $\epsilon$ -discrete and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $(\lambda, M)$ -asymptotically Lipschitz, then it is  $(\lambda + \frac{M}{\epsilon})$ -Lipschitz.

Let us show how to relate  $\text{asdim}_L(X)$  to existence of Lipschitz extensions.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a metric space and  $X_1$  is a discrete  $\epsilon$ -net in  $X$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $m \geq 0$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- a. *Any asymptotically Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $f': A \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  extends to an asymptotically Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $F': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ .*
- b. *Any Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $f': A \subset X_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  extends to a Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $F': X_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ .*

PROOF. (a)  $\implies$  (b). Suppose  $f': A \subset X_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  is a norm-preserving Lipschitz function. Extend it to an asymptotically Lipschitz function  $F': X_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  and notice that it is Lipschitz as  $X_1$  is discrete.

(b)  $\implies$  (a). Suppose  $f': A \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  is a norm-preserving  $(\lambda, M)$ -asymptotically Lipschitz function. We may assume  $x_0 \in A$  and  $f'$  is induced by  $f: A \rightarrow S^m$  in the sense of  $f'(x) = |x| \cdot f(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ . Let  $A_1$  be the set of all points  $x$  in  $X_1$  such that  $d(x, A) < \epsilon$ . For each  $x \in A_1 \setminus A$  pick a point  $a(x) \in A$  so that  $d(x, a(x)) < \epsilon$ . If  $x \in A_1 \cap A$ , put  $a(x) = x$ . Define  $g: A_1 \rightarrow S^m$  by  $g(x) = f(a(x))$ . We need the induced function  $g': A_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  to be Lipschitz. Given  $x, y \in A_1$ , we have  $g'(x) - g'(y) = |x| \cdot f(a(x)) - |y| \cdot f(a(y)) = f'(a(x)) - f'(a(y)) + (|x| - |a(x)|) \cdot f(a(x)) + (|y| - |a(y)|) \cdot f(a(y))$ , so  $|g'(x) - g'(y)| \leq \lambda d(a(x), a(y)) + M + \epsilon + \epsilon \leq \lambda \cdot (d(x, y) + 2\epsilon) + M + 2\epsilon$ . Thus,  $g'$  is asymptotically Lipschitz, hence Lipschitz as  $A_1$  is discrete. Extend  $g'$  over  $X_1$  to a  $\gamma$ -Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $G': X_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ .  $G'$  is induced by  $G: X_1 \rightarrow S^m$ , an extension of  $g$ . Given  $x \in X \setminus (A \cup X_1)$  find a point  $b(x) \in X_1$  such that  $d(x, b(x)) < \epsilon$ . For  $x \in A \cup X_1$  put  $b(x) = x$ . Extend  $G$  to  $F: X \rightarrow S^m$  by  $F(x) = f(x)$  if  $x \in A$  and  $F(x) = G(b(x))$  if  $x \notin A$ . Let  $G'(x) := |x| \cdot G(x)$ . Estimation of  $|G'(x) - G'(y)|$  is obvious if both  $x$  and  $y$  belong to  $A$ . In case  $x, y \notin A$  follow the argument above, so the only case of interest is  $x \in A$  and  $y \notin A$ . Pick  $x_1 \in X_1$  satisfying  $d(x, x_1) < \epsilon$  and notice  $x_1 \in A_1$ , so  $g(x_1) = f(a(x_1))$ . Now,  $G'(x) - G'(y) = (G'(x) - G'(x_1)) + (G'(b(y)) - G'(y)) + (G'(x_1) - G'(b(y)))$  and  $|G'(x_1) - G'(b(y))| \leq \gamma \cdot d(x_1, b(y)) \leq \gamma \cdot (d(x, y) + 2\epsilon)$ ,  $G'(b(y)) - G'(y) = |b(y)| \cdot g(b(y)) - |y| \cdot g(b(y))$  is of size at most  $\epsilon$ , so the only remaining task is estimation of  $G'(x) - G'(x_1)$ . However,  $G'(x) - G'(x_1) = f'(x) - f'(a(x_1)) + (|x_1| - |x|) \cdot f(x) + (|a(x_1)| - |x_1|) \cdot f(a(x_1))$  is of size at most  $\lambda \cdot \epsilon + M + \epsilon + \epsilon$ .  $\square$

In the remainder of the paper by  $\text{An}(X, r, s)$  we denote the annulus  $\{x \in X \mid r \leq |x| < s\}$ , where  $0 \leq r \leq s$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a discrete metric space,  $r > 0$  and  $M > 1$ . Put  $X_k = \text{An}(X, r \cdot M^{k-1}, r \cdot M^{k+1})$  and  $Y_k = \text{An}(X, r \cdot M^{k-1}, \infty)$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow S^m$  is a function and  $m \geq 0$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- a.  $f$  induces a norm-preserving  $f': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  that is Lipschitz.
- b. The sequence  $\{M^k \cdot \text{Lip}(f|_{Y_k})\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is bounded.
- c. The sequence  $\{M^k \cdot \text{Lip}(f|_{X_k})\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is bounded.

PROOF. (a)  $\implies$  (b). Suppose  $f'$  is  $\lambda$ -Lipschitz. Since  $X$  is discrete, each  $\text{Lip}(f_k|_{Y_k})$  is finite. If  $x, y \in Y_k$  for some  $k \geq 2$  and  $|x| \leq |y|$ , then  $\lambda \cdot d(x, y) \geq |f'(x) - f'(y)| = ||x|f(x) - |y|f(y)| = ||x|(f(x) - f(y)) + (|x| - |y|)f(y)| \geq |x||f(x) - f(y)| - d(x, y)$ , so  $M^k \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} \leq M^k \frac{\lambda + 1}{|x|} \leq M(\lambda + 1)/r$ .

(c)  $\implies$  (a). Suppose  $\{M^k \cdot \text{Lip}(f|_{X_k})\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is bounded by  $C$ . Suppose  $x, y \in X$  and  $|x| \leq |y|$ . If  $x, y \in X_k$  for some  $k$ , then  $||x|f(x) - |y|f(y)| = ||x|(f(x) - f(y)) + (|x| - |y|)f(y)| \leq |x||f(x) - f(y)| + d(x, y) \leq (|x| \cdot C/M^k + 1) \cdot d(x, y) \leq (rMC + 1) \cdot d(x, y)$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  do not belong to the same  $X_k$ , then there is  $n \geq 0$  such that  $|x| \leq rM^n$  and  $|y| \geq rM^{n+1}$ . In that case  $d(x, y) \geq |y| - |x| \geq r(M - 1) \cdot M^n \geq (M - 1)|x|$  and  $|f'(x) - f'(y)| = ||x|f(x) - |y|f(y)| = ||x|(f(x) - f(y)) + (|x| - |y|)f(y)| \leq |x||f(x) - f(y)| + d(x, y) \leq 2|x| + d(x, y) \leq (2/(M - 1) + 1) \cdot d(x, y)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a discrete metric space. If  $m \geq 0$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- a. Every Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $f': A \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  extends to a Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $F': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ .
- b. For any  $r, s > 0$ , any  $M > 1$ , and any sequence of functions  $f_k: A_k \subset \text{An}(X, r \cdot M^{2k}, r \cdot M^{2k+1}) \rightarrow S^m$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , satisfying  $\text{Lip}(f_k) \leq \frac{s}{M^{2k}}$  there are  $c > 0$  and functions  $g_k: \text{An}(X, r \cdot M^{2k}, r \cdot M^{2k+1}) \rightarrow S^m$  so that  $\text{Lip}(g_k) \leq \frac{c}{M^{2k}}$  and  $g_k|_{A_k} = f_k$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .
- c. For any  $r, s > 0$ , any  $M > 1$ , and any sequence of functions  $f_k: A_k \subset \text{An}(X, r \cdot M^k, r \cdot M^{k+1}) \rightarrow S^m$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , satisfying  $\text{Lip}(f_k) \leq \frac{s}{M^k}$  there are  $c > 0$  and functions  $g_k: \text{An}(X, r \cdot M^k, r \cdot M^{k+1}) \rightarrow S^m$  so that  $\text{Lip}(g_k) \leq \frac{c}{M^k}$  and  $g_k|_{A_k} = f_k$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

PROOF. (a)  $\implies$  (b). Let  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_k$ . Paste all  $f_k$  to obtain  $f: A \rightarrow S^m$ . By 2.2 the function  $f': A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  is Lipschitz, so it extends to a norm-preserving Lipschitz  $g': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  induced by  $g$ . Consider  $g_k = g|_{\text{An}(X, r \cdot M^{2k}, r \cdot M^{2k+1})}$  and apply 2.2 again.

(b)  $\implies$  (c). It is a consequence of the fact that  $\text{An}(X, r \cdot M^{2k+1}, r \cdot M^{2k+2}) = \text{An}(X, s \cdot M^{2k}, s \cdot M^{2k+1})$ , where  $s = r \cdot M$ , so one can apply b) twice and get c).

(c)  $\implies$  (a). First observation is the following:

For any  $s > 0$ , any  $M > 1$ , and any sequence of functions  $f_k: A_k \subset \text{An}(X, M^k, M^{k+3}) \rightarrow S^m$  satisfying  $\text{Lip}(f_k) \leq \frac{s}{M^k}$  there are  $c > 0$  and functions  $g_k: \text{An}(X, M^k, M^{k+3}) \rightarrow S^m$  so that  $\text{Lip}(g_k) \leq \frac{c}{M^k}$  and  $g_k|_{A_k} = f_k$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

It follows from setting  $M' = M^3$  and applying c) three times with values for  $r$  being respectively 1,  $M$ , and  $M^2$ .

Second observation is that given  $\lambda_i$ -Lipschitz functions  $u_i: X_i \rightarrow Y, i = 1, 2$ , from subsets of  $X$  to a bounded metric space  $Y$  such that  $\text{dist}(X_1 \setminus X_2, X_2 \setminus X_1) \geq \mu$  and  $u_1|_{X_1 \cap X_2} = u_2|_{X_1 \cap X_2}$ , then  $u = u_1 \cup u_2: X_1 \cup X_2 \rightarrow Y$  is  $\max(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \frac{\text{diam}(Y)}{\mu})$ -Lipschitz.

Suppose  $f': A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  is a norm-preserving Lipschitz function and  $A \subset X$ . Define  $f(a) = f'(a)/|a|$  for  $a \in A \setminus \{x_0\}$  and define  $f(x_0)$  arbitrarily. By 2.2 there is  $s > 0$  such that  $\text{Lip}(f|_{\text{An}(A, 2^k, \infty)}) \leq s/2^k$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Find  $t > 0$  and  $f_k: \text{An}(X, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k+2}) \rightarrow S^m$  for each  $k \geq 2$  so that  $f_k$  extends  $f|_{\text{An}(A, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k+2})}$  and  $\{2^k \cdot \text{Lip}(f_k)\}$  is bounded. Paste  $f_k$  and  $f_{k+2}$  to get  $g_k$  on  $\text{An}(X, 2^k, 2^{k+1}) \cup \text{An}(A, 2^{k+1}, 2^{k+2}) \cup \text{An}(X, 2^{k+2}, 2^{k+3})$  so that  $\{2^k \cdot \text{Lip}(g_k)\}$  is bounded. Get extensions  $h_k: \text{An}(X, 2^k, 2^{k+3}) \rightarrow S^m$  of  $g_k$  so that  $\{2^k \cdot \text{Lip}(h_k)\}$  is bounded. Splice the even-numbered  $h_k$  to get  $h: X \rightarrow S^m$  so that  $h'$  is Lipschitz and  $h$  extends  $f$ . □

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a discrete metric space and  $m \geq 0$ . If  $K \subset S^m$  is a Lipschitz extensor of  $X$  and  $f: A \subset X \rightarrow K$  induces a Lipschitz function  $f': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ , then it extends to  $g: X \rightarrow K$  inducing a Lipschitz function  $g': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ .*

PROOF.  $K$  being a Lipschitz extensor of  $X$  means that there is  $C > 0$  such that any  $\lambda$ -Lipschitz  $f: A \subset X \rightarrow K$  extends over  $X$  to a  $C \cdot \lambda$ -Lipschitz function. Follow the same procedure as in the proof of 2.3 using the fact  $K$  is a Lipschitz extensor of  $X$ . □

**Corollary 2.5.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a discrete metric space. If  $S^m$  is a Lipschitz extensor of  $X$ , then any norm-preserving Lipschitz function  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  extends to a norm-preserving Lipschitz function  $f': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ .*

In [6] the concept of a *cocompact* metric space  $X$  was introduced to mean that there is a compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  such that for any  $x \in X$  there is an isometry  $\gamma$  of  $X$  with  $x \in \gamma(K)$ . In other words, the group of isometries of  $X$  acts on  $X$

comcompactly. Analogously, one can introduce the concept of a *cobounded* space  $X$  to mean that  $\text{Isom}(X)$  acts on  $X$  coboundedly, i.e. there is a bounded subset  $B$  of  $X$  with  $X = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \text{Isom}(X)} \gamma(B)$ .

$(X, d)$  is *M-scale connected* if any pair of points  $x, y \in X$  can be connected by a chain  $x_1 = x, \dots, x_k = y$  such that  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq M$  for all  $i \leq k - 1$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a metric space and  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $X$  is cobounded and M-scale connected for some  $M > 0$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- a. *Any asymptotically Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $f': A \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  extends to an asymptotically Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $F': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ .*
- b. *There is a function  $c: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  such that any  $f: B \subset Y \rightarrow S^m$ ,  $Y$  an  $\epsilon$ -discrete subset of  $X$ , satisfying  $\text{Lip}(f) \leq \frac{s}{\text{diam}(Y)}$  for some  $s > 0$ , extends to  $g: Y \rightarrow S^m$  so that  $\text{Lip}(g) \leq c(s) \cdot \text{Lip}(f)$ .*

PROOF. (a)  $\implies$  (b). Suppose there is  $s > 0$  such that for each  $n \geq 1$  one can find  $f_n: B_n \subset Y_n \rightarrow S^m$ ,  $Y_n$  an  $\epsilon$ -discrete subset of  $X$ , satisfying  $\text{Lip}(f_n) \leq \frac{s}{\text{diam}(Y_n)}$  but any extension  $g: Y_n \rightarrow S^m$  of  $f_n$  satisfies  $\text{Lip}(g) > \alpha(n) \cdot \text{Lip}(f_n)$ , where  $\alpha(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Notice that each  $f_n$  has an extension  $g$  such that  $\text{Lip}(g) \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon}$ . That means  $\text{Lip}(f_n) \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon \cdot \alpha(n)}$  and  $\text{Lip}(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ . By enlarging  $M$  if necessary we may assume that  $M > 6$  and each  $\text{An}(X, 2M^k, \frac{1}{3}M^{k+1})$  contains a point  $x_k$ . For each  $n \geq 1$  pick  $k(n)$  so that  $M^{k(n)} \leq \frac{2Ms}{\text{Lip}(f_n)} < M^{k(n)+1}$  and by applying an isometry assume  $d(x_{k(n)}, Y_n) < M$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . We may assume  $k(n)$  is a strictly increasing function and  $k(n) \geq 2$  for all  $n \geq 1$  (by switching to a subsequence if necessary). Notice  $\text{diam}(Y_n) \leq \frac{s}{\text{Lip}(f_n)} \leq M^{k(n)}/2$ . Given  $x \in Y_n$  one has  $|x_{k(n)}| - M - \text{diam}(Y_n) < |x| < |x_{k(n)}| + M + \text{diam}(Y_n)$ , so  $2M^{k(n)} - M - M^{k(n)}/2 \leq |x| < \frac{1}{3}M^{k(n)+1} + M + M^{k(n)}/2$  and  $M^{k(n)} \leq |x| < M^{k(n)+1}$  as  $M > 6$ . That means  $Y_n \subset \text{An}(X, M^{k(n)}, M^{k(n)+1})$ .

Since  $\text{Lip}(f_n) \leq \frac{2Ms}{M^{k(n)}}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , 2.3 implies existence of  $c > 0$  and extensions  $g_n: Y_n \rightarrow S^m$  such that  $\text{Lip}(g_n) \leq \frac{c}{M^{k(n)}}$ . Thus, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\frac{c}{M^{k(n)}} \geq \text{Lip}(g_n) \geq \alpha(n) \cdot \text{Lip}(f_n) \geq \alpha(n) \cdot \frac{2Ms}{M^{k(n)}}$  resulting in  $\frac{c}{2Ms} \geq \alpha(n)$  for all  $n$ , a contradiction.

(b)  $\implies$  (a). Use 2.3 and 2.1. □

**Corollary 2.7.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a metric space such that any asymptotically Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $f': A \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  extends to an asymptotically Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $F': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  for some  $m \geq 0$ . If  $X$*

is cobounded,  $M$ -scale connected for some  $M > 0$ , and  $\text{asdim}_{AN}(X) \leq m + 1$ , then  $\text{asdim}_{AN}(X) \leq m$ .

PROOF. Suppose any asymptotically Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $f' : A \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  extends to an asymptotically Lipschitz norm-preserving function  $F' : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ . Pick a 1-net  $X_1$  in  $X$ . By 2.6 there is a function  $c : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  such that any  $f : B \subset Y \rightarrow S^m$ ,  $Y \subset X_1$ , satisfying  $\text{Lip}(f) \leq \frac{s}{\text{diam}(Y)}$  extends to  $g : Y \rightarrow S^m$  so that  $\text{Lip}(g) \leq c(s) \cdot \text{Lip}(f)$ . As Assouad-Nagata dimension of  $X_1$  is at most  $m + 1$ , there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for each  $r > 0$  there is a cover  $\mathcal{U}^r$  of  $X_1$  that can be expressed as the union of  $m + 2$  families  $\mathcal{U}_i^r$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m + 2$ , so that each  $\mathcal{U}_i^r$  is  $r$ -disjoint and the diameter of elements of  $\mathcal{U}^r$  is at most  $C \cdot r$ . Let  $A_r$  be all the points of  $X_1$  contained in at most  $m + 1$  elements of  $\mathcal{U}^r$ . Consider the canonical map  $\phi^r : X_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}^r)$  to the nerve  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}^r)$  of  $\mathcal{U}^r$  for each  $r > 0$ . Notice  $\text{Lip}(\phi^r) \leq \frac{\lambda}{r}$  for some constant  $\lambda > 0$  (see [1] and [4]) and  $\text{diam}((\phi^r)^{-1}(\Delta)) \leq 2Cr$  for each  $r > 0$  and each  $(m + 1)$ -simplex  $\Delta$  of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}^r)$ . Let  $X_\Delta^r = (\phi^r)^{-1}(\Delta)$  and  $\phi_\Delta^r = \phi^r|_{X_\Delta^r} : X_\Delta^r \rightarrow \Delta$  for any  $(m + 1)$ -simplex  $\Delta$  of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}^r)$ . Thus  $\text{Lip}(\phi_\Delta^r) \leq \frac{2\lambda}{\text{diam}(X_\Delta^r)}$  and for each  $r > 0$  and for each  $(m + 1)$ -simplex  $\Delta$  of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}^r)$  there is an extension  $\psi_\Delta^r : X_\Delta^r \rightarrow \partial\Delta$  of  $\phi_\Delta^r|_{(X_\Delta^r \cap A_r)}$  satisfying  $\text{Lip}(\psi_\Delta^r) \leq t \cdot \text{Lip}(\phi_\Delta^r)$ , where  $t = c(2\lambda)$ . Given a vertex  $U$  of  $\mathcal{U}^r$  consider all  $\Delta$  containing  $U$  as a vertex and let  $s(U)$  be the union of all  $(\psi_\Delta^r)^{-1}(st(U))$  and  $U \cap A_r$ . That means  $s(U)$  shrinks  $U$  to points that are either contained in  $A_r \cap U$  or their  $U$ -barycentric coordinate of  $\psi^r$  is positive. Thus the mesh of the cover  $\{s(U)\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}^r}$  is at most  $C \cdot r$ , so it suffices to show that its Lebesgue number is at least  $K \cdot r$  for some constant  $K$  (independent of  $r$ ) and the multiplicity of that cover is at most  $m + 1$ .

The reason multiplicity does not exceed  $m + 1$  is that each  $x \in X$  is being mapped to the  $n$ -skeleton of the nerve by maps  $\psi_\Delta^r$ .

Given  $x \in X$  find all elements  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  of  $\mathcal{U}^r$  containing it. If  $k < m + 2$ , then the ball  $B(x, r/2)$  intersects exactly one  $U_i$  and  $s(U_i)$  must contain  $B(x, r/2) \subset A_r \cap U_i$ . If  $k = m + 2$ , then for  $\Delta = [U_1, \dots, U_{m+2}]$  pick  $i$  such that the  $U_i$ -barycentric coordinate of  $\psi_\Delta^r(x)$  is at least  $\frac{1}{m+2}$ . If  $y \in B(x, \frac{r}{\lambda(m+2)t})$  and the  $U_i$ -barycentric coordinate of  $\psi_\Delta^r(y)$  is 0, then  $1/(m + 2) \leq |\psi_\Delta^r(x) - \psi_\Delta^r(y)| \leq d(x, y) \cdot t\lambda/r < 1/(m + 2)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $B(x, \frac{r}{\lambda(m+2)t}) \subset s(U_i)$  and the Lebesgue number of  $\{s(U)\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}^r}$  is at least  $K \cdot r$ , where  $K = \frac{1}{\lambda(m+2)t}$ . That means  $m \geq \dim_{AN}(X_1) = \text{asdim}_{AN}(X_1) = \text{asdim}_{AN}(X)$ .  $\square$

## 3. OPEN CONES AND PARTITIONS OF UNITY

Consider a compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbf{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$  such that each ray from 0 intersects at most one point of  $K$ . The union of rays from 0 via points of  $K$  is denoted by  $\text{OpenCone}(K)$ . Thus, every point of  $\text{OpenCone}(K) \setminus \{0\}$  has unique representation as  $t \cdot k$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $k \in K$ . The most important examples are  $K = S^{m-1}$  in which case  $\text{OpenCone}(K) = \mathbf{R}^m$  and  $K \subset \Delta^{m-1}$ ,  $\Delta^{m-1}$  being the standard simplex spanned by the basis  $e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , of  $\mathbf{R}^m$ .

We are interested in open cones over sets  $K$  such that any point  $x \in K$  has a closed neighborhood  $A_x$  in  $K$  with the property that the distance between any ‘tangent’ vector  $(v - w)/|v - w|$ ,  $v, w \in A_x$ , and  $x/|x|$  is bigger than  $\alpha(x)$ , where  $\alpha: K \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a function. For simplicity, let us call such sets *regular*. Notice that both  $S^{m-1}$  and  $\Delta^{m-1}$  are regular.

The reason for next proposition is that simplexes offer better geometric properties (convexity) than subsets of spheres. Our main use for it is in replacing  $\mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  by the open cone over  $\Delta^m$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $f: K \rightarrow L$  is a Lipschitz map of compact subsets of Euclidean spaces. If  $K$  is regular, then*

$$f': \text{OpenCone}(K) \rightarrow \text{OpenCone}(L)$$

*defined by  $f'(t \cdot k) = t \cdot f(k)$  is Lipschitz. In particular, if  $f: K \rightarrow L$  is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism of regular sets, then  $f'$  is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism.*

PROOF. Suppose there is a sequence of points  $t_n \cdot k_n, s_n \cdot l_n \in \text{OpenCone}(K)$  such that  $|t_n f(k_n) - s_n f(l_n)| > \beta(n) |t_n k_n - s_n l_n|$  and  $\beta(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We may assume  $s_n \neq 0$  (only one of  $t_n, s_n$  can be 0 for a given  $n$ ). Replacing  $t_n$  by  $t_n/s_n$  and  $s_n$  by 1 (divide both sides of the inequality by  $s_n$ ), we may assume  $s_n = 1$  for all  $n$ . Notice 0 cannot be the limit of an infinite subsequence of  $\{t_n\}$  (in that case  $\beta(n) < 2\text{diam}(L)/d(0, K)$  for infinitely many  $n$ , a contradiction). Similarly, no subsequence of  $\{t_n\}$  can approach infinity (reduce to the previous case by switching to  $1/t_n$  via dividing). Without loss of generality, we may assume  $t_n \rightarrow t_0 > 0$  and  $k_n \rightarrow k_0, l_n \rightarrow l_0$  (as  $K, L$  are compact). Notice  $t_n = 1$  only for finitely many  $n$  (as  $f$  is Lipschitz), so assume  $t_n \neq 1$  for all  $n$ . Similarly, we may assume  $k_n \neq l_n$  for all  $n$ . Now  $t_0 = 1$  (otherwise the minimum distance from  $K$  to  $t_0 K$  is positive and one can get a bound on  $\beta(n)$ ) which implies  $k_0 = l_0$ . It is here where we use regularity of  $K$ : assume all  $k_n, l_n$  lie in a closed neighborhood  $A$  of  $k_0 = l_0$  so that there is  $\alpha > 0$  with the property that  $|(k - l)/|k - l| - tp| > \alpha$  for all  $k, l, p \in A$  and all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  (the original assumption was satisfied for all



$t > 0$  but it implies such inequality for all  $t$ ). Put  $(t_n - 1)/|k_n - l_n| = r_n$  and  $(k_n - l_n)/|k_n - l_n| = v_n$ . The inequality  $|t_n f(k_n) - s_n f(l_n)| > \beta(n)|t_n k_n - s_n l_n|$  can be easily transformed into  $|r_n f(k_n) + (f(k_n) - f(l_n))/|k_n - l_n|| > \beta(n)|r_n k_n + v_n|$ . As  $|f(k_n) - f(l_n)|/|k_n - l_n|$  is bounded ( $f$  is Lipschitz), no subsequence of  $r_n$  can be unbounded, so we may assume  $r_n \rightarrow r_0$ . That means  $r_n k_n + v_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $r_0 = -1/|k_0|$ . In that case  $|r_n k_n + v_n| > \alpha/2$  for large  $n$ , hence  $|r_n f(k_n) + (f(k_n) - f(l_n))/|k_n - l_n||$  is bounded resulting in  $\beta(n)$  being bounded, a contradiction.  $\square$

The next result shows benefits in switching to open cones over simplexes. Namely, it provides a simple criterion for the canonical partition of unity subordinate to a cover to induce a Lipschitz ‘norm-preserving’ map.

Given an open cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  of a metric space  $X$  by proper subsets, by the *canonical partition of unity*  $\phi_{\mathcal{U}}$  induced by  $\mathcal{U}$  we mean functions  $\phi_i(x) := \frac{d(x, X \setminus U_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^k d(x, X \setminus U_j)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Such a partition of unity gives the *canonical map* from

$X$  to the nerve  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  defined by  $\phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_i(x) \cdot v_i$ , where  $v_i$  is the vertex corresponding to  $U_i$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  is an open cover of a metric space  $X$  by proper subsets and  $\phi_{\mathcal{U}}$  is the canonical partition of unity induced by  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $\phi'_{\mathcal{U}}: X \rightarrow \text{OpenCone}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}))$  is Lipschitz if and only if there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that one has*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d(x, X \setminus U_i) \geq \epsilon|x|$$

for all  $x$ .

PROOF. Fix  $M > 1$ . Choose  $i \leq k$  and put  $f(x) = d(x, X \setminus U_i)$ ,  $g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k d(x, X \setminus U_j) - f(x)$ . Notice  $f$  and  $g$  are  $k$ -Lipschitz and  $f(x) + g(x) \geq \epsilon|x|$ . Let  $h = \frac{f}{f+g}$ . We want to show  $|h(x) - h(y)| \leq \frac{3kd(x,y)}{\epsilon|y|}$  if  $|x| \geq |y| > 0$  for some  $x, y \in X$ . Put  $a = \frac{3kd(x,y)}{\epsilon|y|}$ . If  $h(x) - h(y) > a$ , then  $\frac{f(x)}{f(x)+g(x)} - \frac{f(y)}{f(y)+g(y)} > a$  as well. Since  $\frac{f(x)}{f(x)+g(x)} - \frac{f(y)}{f(y)+g(y)} = \frac{f(x) \cdot 2kd(x,y) + kd(x,y) \cdot (f(x)+g(x))}{(f(x)+g(x)) \cdot (f(y)+g(y)+2kd(x,y))} \leq \frac{3kd(x,y)}{f(x)+g(x)+2kd(x,y)} \leq \frac{3kd(x,y)}{f(x)+g(x)} \leq a$ , we arrive at a contradiction.

Now, if  $y = x_0$ , then  $||x|h(x) - |y|h(y)| = |x|h(x) \leq |x| = d(x, y)$ . If  $|x| \geq |y| > 0$ , then  $||x|h(x) - |y|h(y)| = ||y|(h(x) - h(y) + h(x)(|x| - |y|))| \leq 3kd(x, y)/\epsilon + d(x, y) = (3k/\epsilon + 1)d(x, y)$ .

Suppose  $\phi'$  is  $\lambda$ -Lipschitz. Let  $S(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k d(x, X \setminus U_i)$ . Suppose  $x \in X$  and choose  $i$  such that  $x \in U_i$ . Find  $y \in X \setminus U_i$  so that  $d(x, X \setminus U_i) > d(x, y)/2$ . Since  $\lambda \cdot d(x, y)S(x) \geq |x|d(x, X \setminus U_i) - |y|d(y, X \setminus U_i)S(x)/S(y) = |x|d(x, X \setminus U_i) > |x|d(x, y)/2$ , we get  $S(x) > \frac{|x|}{2\lambda}$ .  $\square$

Our last result on open cones shows that functions from  $X$  to  $\Delta^m$  that induce Lipschitz maps from  $X$  to  $\text{OpenCone}(\Delta^m)$  form a ‘convex’ set.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a metric space and  $\gamma: X \rightarrow \Delta^1$  induces a Lipschitz function  $\gamma': X \rightarrow \text{OpenCone}(\Delta^1)$ . If  $f, g: X \rightarrow \Delta^m$  induce Lipschitz functions  $f', g': X \rightarrow \text{OpenCone}(\Delta^m)$  for some  $m \geq 1$ , then  $h = \alpha \cdot f + \beta \cdot g: X \rightarrow \Delta^m$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  are barycentric coordinates of  $\gamma$ , induces a Lipschitz function  $h': X \rightarrow \text{OpenCone}(\Delta^m)$ .*

PROOF.  $h'(x) - h'(y) = |x|\alpha(x)f(x) + |x|\beta(x)g(x) - |y|\alpha(y)f(y) - |y|\beta(y)g(y) = \alpha(x)(f'(x) - f'(y)) + (\alpha(x) - \alpha(y))|y|f(y) + \beta(x)(g'(x) - g'(y)) + (\beta(x) - \beta(y))|y|g(y)$ . Also,  $|(\alpha(x) - \alpha(y)) \cdot |y|| = |(|x|\alpha(x) - |y|\alpha(y)) + \alpha(x) \cdot (|y| - |x|)| \leq |\gamma'(x) - \gamma'(y)| + d(x, y) \leq (1 + \text{Lip}(\gamma')) \cdot d(x, y)$  (the same holds for  $\beta$ ), so  $|h'(x) - h'(y)| \leq \text{Lip}(f') \cdot d(x, y) + \text{Lip}(g') \cdot d(x, y) + (2\text{Lip}(\gamma') + 2) \cdot d(x, y)$  and  $h'$  is Lipschitz.  $\square$

#### 4. SUBLINEAR COARSE STRUCTURE

The sublinear coarse structure was introduced in [6]. Our approach is a little bit different to allow for a better transition from previous sections of our paper.

**Definition 4.1.** A continuous function  $s: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  is called *asymptotically sublinear* if for each non-constant linear function  $f: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  there is  $M$  such that  $s(r) \leq f(r) + M$ . Equivalently, there is  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $s(r) \leq f(r)$  for  $r \geq r_0$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *If  $t_n, a_n \in \mathbf{R}_+$  and  $t_n \rightarrow \infty, a_n \rightarrow 0$ , then there is an asymptotically sublinear function  $s: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  such that  $s(t_k) = a_k \cdot t_k$  for infinitely many  $k$ .*

PROOF. If for each  $n$  there is  $k > n$  such that  $a_k \cdot t_k \leq a_n \cdot t_n$ , then such  $s$  is easy to construct as a piecewise linear function that is decreasing starting from some  $t$ . Without loss of generality assume  $a_k \cdot t_k > a_n \cdot t_n$  if  $k > n$ . The idea is to pick a sequence  $n(i)$  such that the slopes  $\frac{a_{n(i+1)}t_{n(i+1)} - a_{n(i)}t_{n(i)}}{t_{n(i+1)} - t_{n(i)}}$  form a decreasing sequence tending to 0. Once it is done (easy exercise) create the graph of  $s$  in a piecewise linear fashion.  $\square$

**Definition 4.3.** A continuous function  $f: (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$  is called *Higson sublinear* if for each asymptotically sublinear function  $s: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  the conditions  $x_n, y_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $d_X(x_n, y_n) \leq s(|x_n|)$  for all  $n \geq 1$  imply  $d_Y(f(x_n), f(y_n)) \rightarrow 0$ .

Using asymptotically sublinear functions one can introduce the *sublinear coarse structure*  $\mathcal{E}_L(X)$  on  $X$  either following [7] and declaring a family of subsets of  $X$  to be uniformly bounded if and only if it refines  $\{B(x, s(|x|))\}_{x \in X}$  for some asymptotically sublinear  $s$ . Alternatively, one can use [10] and declare  $E \in \mathcal{E}_L(X)$  if and only if  $E \subset \bigcup_{x \in X} B(x, s(|x|)) \times B(x, s(|x|))$  for some asymptotically sublinear  $s$ . The corresponding *Higson sublinear compactification*  $h_L(X)$  has the property that all bounded maps  $f: h_L(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  induce Higson sublinear maps  $f|_X$  and every Higson sublinear bounded  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  extends over  $h_L(X)$ . If  $X$  is proper, then  $\nu_L(X) := h_L(X) \setminus X$  is called the *sublinear Higson corona* of  $X$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** *Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow S^m$  is a continuous function. If  $f': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  defined by  $f'(x) = |x| \cdot f(x)$  is asymptotically Lipschitz, then  $f$  is Higson sublinear.*

PROOF. Suppose  $s: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  is asymptotically sublinear and  $x_n, y_n \rightarrow \infty$  so that  $d(x_n, y_n) \leq s(|x_n|)$  for all  $n$ . Let  $\lambda, M > 0$  satisfy  $|f'(x) - f'(y)| \leq \lambda \cdot d(x, y) + M$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and suppose  $\epsilon > 0$ . Pick  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $s(r) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda+1} \cdot r$  for  $r > r_0$ . If  $n$  is sufficiently large so that  $|x_n| > r$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \cdot |x_n| &= ||x_n| \cdot f(x_n) - |x_n| \cdot f(y_n)| \\ &= |f'(x_n) - f'(y_n) - (|x_n| - |y_n|) \cdot f(y_n)| \leq |f'(x_n) - f'(y_n)| + ||x_n| - |y_n|| \\ &\leq \lambda \cdot d(x_n, y_n) + M + d(x_n, y_n) \leq (\lambda + 1) \cdot s(|x_n|) + M \leq \epsilon \cdot |x_n| + M. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \leq 2\epsilon$  for large  $n$ . □

*Remark 4.5.* Consider  $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow S^1$  defined by  $f(2n)$  being at distance  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  from 1 and  $f(2n+1) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Notice that  $f'(n) = n \cdot f(n)$  is not asymptotically Lipschitz but  $f$  is Higson sublinear.

Our next result generalizes part of Lemma 2.3 of [6] and our proof seems simpler.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a discrete metric space. If  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  is an open cover of  $h_L(X)$ , then either  $X \subset U_i$  for some  $i$  or there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k d(x, X \setminus U_i) \geq \epsilon \cdot |x|$  for all  $x \in X$ .*

PROOF. By contradiction. Using 4.2 find a sequence of points  $x_n \in X$  tending to infinity and an asymptotically sublinear function  $s$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k d(x_n, X \setminus U_i) < s(|x_n|)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Given that data, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has a cluster point  $x_0 \in \nu_L(X)$ . As  $x_0 \in U_i$  for some  $i$ , we may as well assume  $x_n \in U_1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $d(x_n, X \setminus U_1) < s(|x_n|)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , either  $X \subset U_1$  or for each  $n$  there is  $y_n \in X \setminus U_1$  such that  $d(x_n, y_n) < s(|x_n|)$ . Pick a continuous function  $u: h_L(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $u(x_0) = 1$  and  $u(h_L(X) \setminus U_1) = \{0\}$ .  $u|_X$  is Higson sublinear, so  $1 = u(x_n) - u(y_n) \rightarrow 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a discrete metric space. A function  $f: X \rightarrow S^m$  is Higson sublinear if and only if it is the uniform limit of functions  $g: X \rightarrow S^m$  such that the functions  $g': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ ,  $g'(x) = |x| \cdot g(x)$ , are Lipschitz.*

PROOF. Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow S^m$  is Higson sublinear. Replace  $S^m$  by  $\partial\Delta^{m+1}$  using a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Given  $\epsilon > 0$  approximate  $f$  by a partition of unity on a cover of  $X$  that is a restriction of an open cover of  $h_L(X)$ . Such partitions of unity induce  $g: X \rightarrow \partial\Delta^{m+1}$  for which  $g': X \rightarrow \text{OpenCone}(\partial\Delta^{m+1})$  is Lipschitz (see 4.6 and 3.2). Switch back to  $S^m$  using 3.1.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a proper metric space. If  $X'$  is a discrete  $\epsilon$ -net in  $X$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , then the inclusion  $i: X' \rightarrow X$  induces a homeomorphism of sublinear Higson coronas  $\nu_L(X') \rightarrow \nu_L(X)$ .*

PROOF. Notice the image of  $h_L(X')$  in  $h_L(X)$  must contain  $\nu_L(X)$ . Otherwise there is a continuous  $\alpha: h_L(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  sending  $i_*(h_L(X'))$  to 0 and some point  $x_1 \in \nu_L(X)$  to 1.  $\alpha|_X$  is Higson sublinear, so there is  $r > 0$  such that  $\alpha(X \setminus B(x_0, r)) \subset [0, 1/2]$  (otherwise there are points  $x_n, y_n \in X$  tending to infinity with  $x_n \in X'$ ,  $d(x_n, y_n) < \epsilon$ , and  $\alpha(y_n) > 1/2$  contradicting  $\alpha|_X$  being Higson sublinear). Since  $X \setminus B(x_0, r)$  contains  $x_1$  in its closure in  $h_L(X)$ ,  $\alpha(x_1) \leq 1/2$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\nu_L(X') \rightarrow \nu_L(X)$  is surjective.

Suppose  $x_1 \neq x_2 \in \nu_L(X')$ . Pick two closed neighborhoods  $A_1$  of  $x_1$  and  $A_2$  of  $x_2$  in  $h_L(X')$  that are disjoint. Notice  $X \cap i(A_j) = X' \cap A_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , so call that set  $C_j$ . By 4.6 applied to the cover  $\{h_L(X') \setminus A_1, h_L(X') \setminus A_2\}$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(x, C_1) + d(x, C_2) \geq \delta \cdot |x|$  for all  $x \in X'$ . Let us show that implies existence of  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $S(x) := d(x, C_1) + d(x, C_2) \geq \gamma \cdot |x|$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Given  $x \in X$  pick  $x_1 \in X_1$  so that  $d(x, x_1) < \epsilon$ . Since  $S$  is 2-Lipschitz,  $S(x) \geq S(x_1) - 2d(x, x_1) \geq \delta|x_1| - 2\epsilon$  and  $S(x) \geq (\delta/2)|x|$  if  $|x| \geq 2\epsilon(2+\delta)/\delta$ . For  $x$  such that  $0 < |x| \leq 2\epsilon(2+\delta)/\delta$  pick  $a_1 \in C_1$  and  $a_2 \in C_2$  so that  $d(x, a_i) \leq \lambda|x|$  for some small  $\lambda > 0$ . Hence  $d(a_1, a_2) \leq 2\lambda|x|$  is small but the minimal distance

between  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  is at least the discreteness of  $X_1$ . By 3.2 and 4.4 the function  $x \rightarrow \frac{d(x,C_1)}{d(x,C_1)+d(x,C_2)}$  is Higson sublinear on  $X$  and extends uniquely over  $h_L(X)$ . Its restriction to  $X'$  vanishes on  $C_1$  and is 1 on  $C_2$  implying  $i(x_1) \neq i(x_2)$ .  $\square$

5. DIMENSION OF SUBLINEAR HIGSON CORONA

**Theorem 5.1.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a discrete metric space. The dimension of the sublinear Higson compactification  $h_L(X)$  of  $X$  is the smallest integer  $m \geq 0$  with the following property: Any norm-preserving Lipschitz function  $f': A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ ,  $A \subset X$ , extends to a norm-preserving Lipschitz function  $g': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ .*

PROOF. Suppose  $\dim(h_L(X)) \leq m$  and  $f': A \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$  is a Lipschitz function induced by  $f: A \rightarrow S^m$ . Replace  $S^m$  by  $\partial\Delta^{m+1}$  using 3.1. Using 2.4 extend  $f$  to  $f: X \rightarrow \Delta^{m+1}$  inducing Lipschitz  $f'$ . Find a Lipschitz  $r: \Delta^{m+1} \rightarrow \Delta^{m+1}$  that induces a retraction  $N \rightarrow \partial\Delta^{m+1}$  from a closed neighborhood  $N$  of  $\partial\Delta^{m+1}$  in  $\Delta^{m+1}$ . Let  $g_1: A_1 = f^{-1}(N) \rightarrow \partial\Delta^{m+1}$  be defined by  $g_1(x) = r(f(x))$ .  $g_1$  is Higson sublinear by 4.4. As  $\dim(h_L(X)) \leq m$ , it extends over  $h_L(X)$  to a continuous function  $g_2$ . Using 4.7 choose  $g_3: X \rightarrow \partial\Delta^{m+1}$  with the property that the segment joining  $g_2(x)$  and  $g_3(x)$  in  $\Delta^{m+1}$  is always contained in  $N$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $g_3$  induces Lipschitz  $g'_3: X \rightarrow \text{OpenCone}(\partial\Delta^{m+1})$ .

Put  $U_1 = f^{-1}(\text{int}(N))$  and  $U_2 = f^{-1}(\Delta^{m+1} \setminus \partial\Delta^{m+1})$ . By 4.6 and 3.2, the canonical partition of unity  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  of the cover  $\{U_1 \cap X, U_2 \cap X\}$  gives a map from  $X$  to  $\Delta^1$  inducing Lipschitz  $X \rightarrow \text{OpenCone}(\Delta^1)$ . Since  $\alpha \cdot (r \circ f) + \beta \cdot g_3$  induces a Lipschitz function to the open cone (see 3.3) and its values are in  $N$ ,  $g = r \circ (\alpha \cdot (r \circ f) + \beta \cdot g_3)$  is the required extension of  $f$ .

Suppose  $\text{asdim}_L(X) \leq m$ . Given a map  $f: h_L(X) \rightarrow \Delta^{m+1}$  it induces an open cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_{m+2}\}$  of  $h_L(X)$ , where  $U_i = f^{-1}(St(e_i))$ . By 4.6, 3.2, and 3.1 there is  $g: h_L(X) \rightarrow \partial\Delta^{m+1}$  with the property that  $g(x) \notin St(e_i)$  if  $f(x) \notin St(e_i)$ . That is sufficient to conclude that  $S^m$  is an absolute extensor of  $h_L(X)$ , i.e.  $\dim(h_L(X)) \leq m$ . Indeed, if  $f$  is an extension of  $f_A: A \subset h_L(X) \rightarrow \partial\Delta^{m+1}$ , then  $g|_A$  and  $f$  are homotopic (via straight segments) resulting in  $f_A$  being extendible over  $h_L(X)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a proper metric space. The dimension of the sublinear Higson corona  $\nu_L(X)$  of  $X$  is the smallest integer  $m \geq 0$  with the following property: Any norm-preserving asymptotically Lipschitz function  $f': A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ ,  $A \subset X$ , extends to a norm-preserving asymptotically Lipschitz function  $g': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ .*

PROOF. Pick a discrete 1-net  $X_1$  in  $X$  and notice  $h_L(X_1)$  is the closure of  $X_1$  in  $h_L(X)$  and  $h_L(X_1) = X_1 \cup \nu_L(X)$  by 4.8. Use 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a cocompact proper metric space that is  $M$ -scale connected for some  $M$ . If  $\text{asdim}_{AN}(X)$  is finite, then  $\text{asdim}_{AN}(X) = \dim(\nu_L(X))$ .*

PROOF. As in 5.2 consider a discrete 1-net  $X_1$  in  $X$  while using 2.7 to get inequality  $\text{asdim}_{AN}(X) \leq \dim(\nu_L(X))$ . The other inequality follows from 2.5 using the fact that  $S^m$  is a Lipschitz extensor if  $\dim_{AN}(X) \leq m$  (see [9] or [3]).  $\square$

## 6. FINAL COMMENTS

In [5] Dranishnikov introduced the category  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  whose objects are pointed proper metric spaces  $X$  and morphisms are asymptotically Lipschitz functions  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that there are constants  $b, c > 0$  satisfying  $|f(x)| \geq c \cdot |x| - b$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let us characterize  $\text{asdim}_L(X)$  in terms of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . Namely,  $\text{asdim}_L(X) \leq n$  if and only if  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  is an absolute extensor of  $X$  in the category  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a pointed proper metric space and  $s: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  is a morphism of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow S^n$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- a.  $f': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $f'(x) = |x| \cdot f(x)$ , is asymptotically Lipschitz.
- b.  $F: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  is asymptotically Lipschitz, where  $F(x) = s(x) \cdot f(x)$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $\lambda \cdot |x| + M \geq s(x) \geq 2c \cdot |x| - b$  for some constants  $\lambda, M, c, b > 0$ . Also,  $|s(x) - s(y)| \leq \lambda \cdot d(x, y) + M$ .

(a)  $\implies$  (b). Assume  $|f'(x) - f'(y)| \leq u \cdot d(x, y) + v$  for some  $u, v > 0$ . If  $|y| \leq b/c$ , then  $|F(x) - F(y)| \leq s(x) + s(y) \leq \lambda \cdot |x| + M + \lambda \cdot b/c + M \leq \lambda \cdot d(x, y) + \lambda \cdot b/c + \lambda \cdot b/c + 2M$ , so assume  $|x| \geq |y| > b/c$ . Notice  $f'(x) - f'(y) = |x| \cdot (f(x) - f(y)) + (|x| - |y|) \cdot f(y)$ , so  $|x| \cdot |f(x) - f(y)| \leq u \cdot d(x, y) + v + d(x, y)$ . Similarly,  $F(x) - F(y) = s(x) \cdot (f(x) - f(y)) + (s(x) - s(y)) \cdot f(y)$  and  $|F(x) - F(y)| \leq \frac{s(x)}{|x|} \cdot (u \cdot d(x, y) + v + d(x, y)) + \lambda \cdot d(x, y) + M \leq (\lambda + Mc/b) \cdot (u \cdot d(x, y) + d(x, y) + v) + \lambda \cdot d(x, y) + M$ .

(b)  $\implies$  (a). By enlarging  $\lambda$  and  $M$ , if necessary, assume  $|F(x) - F(y)| \leq \lambda d(x, y) + M$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . If  $|y| \leq b/c$ , then  $|f'(x) - f'(y)| \leq |x| + |y| \leq d(x, y) + 2|y| \leq d(x, y) + 2b/c$ , so assume  $|x| \geq |y| > b/c$  and observe  $s(x) \geq c \cdot |x|$ . Notice  $F(x) - F(y) = s(x) \cdot (f(x) - f(y)) + (s(x) - s(y)) \cdot f(y)$ , so  $s(x) \cdot |f(x) - f(y)| \leq 2\lambda \cdot d(x, y) + 2M$ . Similarly,  $f'(x) - f'(y) = |x| \cdot (f(x) - f(y)) + (|x| - |y|) \cdot f(y)$ , so  $|f'(x) - f'(y)| \leq \frac{|x|}{s(x)}(2\lambda \cdot d(x, y) + 2M) + d(x, y) \leq (2\lambda \cdot d(x, y) + 2M)/c + d(x, y)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.2.** *Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a pointed proper metric space. The dimension of the sublinear Higson corona of  $X$  is the smallest integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  is an absolute extensor of  $X$  in the category  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .*

PROOF. Suppose  $\dim(\nu_L(X)) \leq n$  and  $F: A \subset X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  is a morphism of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ , where  $A$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . Since  $\mathbf{R}_+$  is an absolute extensor of  $X$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  by Theorem 3.1 of [5] (p.1095), there is a morphism  $s: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $s(x) = |F(x)|$  for all  $x \in A$ . Pick  $f: A \rightarrow S^n$  such that  $F(x) = s(x) \cdot f(x)$  for all  $x \in A$  ( $f(x)$  is not uniquely defined if  $s(x) = 0$  in which case pick a value for  $f(x)$  in an arbitrary fashion). Extend  $f': A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  to a norm-preserving asymptotically Lipschitz  $g': X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  induced by  $g: X \rightarrow S^n$  (use 6.1 and 5.2). Define  $G: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  by  $G(x) = s(x) \cdot g(x)$ . It is asymptotically Lipschitz by 6.1.

Suppose  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  is an absolute extensor of  $X$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . Pick a discrete 1-net  $X_1$  in  $X$ . Use 6.1 to conclude that any norm-preserving Lipschitz  $A \subset X_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  extends over  $X_1$  to a norm-preserving Lipschitz function. By 4.8 and 5.2,  $\dim(\nu_L(X)) \leq n$ . □

Notice in the proof of 6.2 we had to insist that  $A$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . It is so because that is how absolute extensors of categories  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  are defined in [5]. And that makes sense since, for  $f: A \subset X \rightarrow Y$  to be a morphism in any of those categories,  $A$  needs to be proper metric, hence closed in  $X$ . However, once one leaves the language of categories, the requirement of  $A$  to be closed can be dropped as shown in the next proposition.

**Proposition 6.3.** *Suppose  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  are pointed metric spaces and  $f: A \subset X \rightarrow Y$  is an asymptotically Lipschitz function such that  $|f(x)| \geq c \cdot |x| - b$  for some constants  $c, b > 0$ . If  $R > 0$ , then there is an asymptotically Lipschitz extension  $g: B(A, R) \rightarrow Y$  of  $f$  such that  $|g(x)| \geq c \cdot |x| - v$  for some constant  $v > 0$ .*

PROOF. Suppose  $f$  is  $(\lambda, M)$ -asymptotically Lipschitz. Pick a retraction  $r: B(A, R) \rightarrow A$  such that  $d_X(x, r(x)) < R$  for all  $x \in B(A, R)$  and define  $g(x) = f(r(x))$  for  $x \in B(A, R)$ . Using  $|r(x)| \geq |x| - R$  one easily gets  $|g(x)| = |f(r(x))| \geq c|r(x)| - b \geq c|x| - cR - b$ . Also,  $d_Y(g(x), g(y)) = d_Y(f(r(x)), f(r(y))) \leq \lambda \cdot d_X(r(x), r(y)) + M \leq \lambda \cdot d_X(x, y) + 2\lambda R + M$ . □

Using 6.3 one can extend any asymptotically Lipschitz function from  $A$  to its closure since the ball  $B(A, R)$  contains that closure.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Bell and A. N. Dranishnikov, *On asymptotic dimension of groups acting on trees* Geom. Dedicata **103** (2004), 89–101.
- [2] N. Brodskiy and J. Dydak, *Coarse dimensions and partitions of unity*, preprint arXiv:math.GT/0506547.
- [3] N. Brodskiy, J. Dydak, J. Higes, A. Mitra, *Nagata-Assouad dimension via Lipschitz extensions*, preprint arXiv:math.MG/0601226.
- [4] S. Buyalo and V. Schroeder, *Hyperbolic dimension of metric spaces*, arXiv:math.GT/0404525
- [5] A. N. Dranishnikov, *Asymptotic topology*, Russian Math.Surveys 55 (2000), no.6, 1085–1129.
- [6] A. N. Dranishnikov and J. Smith, *On asymptotic Assouad-Nagata dimension*, preprint ArXiv: math.MG/0607143
- [7] J. Dydak and C. S. Hoffland, *An alternative definition of coarse structures*, preprint ArXiv: math.MG/0605562.
- [8] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants for infinite groups*, in Geometric Group Theory, vol. 2, 1–295, G. Niblo and M. Roller, eds., Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [9] U. Lang and T. Schlichenmaier, *Nagata dimension, quasisymmetric embeddings, and Lipschitz extensions*, IMRN International Mathematics Research Notices (2005), no. 58, 3625–3655.
- [10] J. Roe, *Lectures on coarse geometry*, University Lecture Series, 31. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

(M. Cencelj) PEDAGOŠKA FAKULTETA, UNIVERZA V LJUBLJANI, KARDELJEVA PL. 16, SI-1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIJA

*E-mail address:* `matija.cencelj@guest.arnes.si`

(J. Dydak) UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, KNOXVILLE, TN 37996, USA

*E-mail address:* `dydak@math.utk.edu`

(J. Smrekar) FAKULTETA ZA MATEMATIKO IN FIZIKO, UNIVERZA V LJUBLJANI, JADRANSKA ULICA 19, SI-1111 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIJA

*E-mail address:* `jaka.smrekar@fmf.uni-lj.si`

(A. Vavpetič) FAKULTETA ZA MATEMATIKO IN FIZIKO, UNIVERZA V LJUBLJANI, JADRANSKA ULICA 19, SI-1111 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIJA

*E-mail address:* `ales.vavpetic@fmf.uni-lj.si`